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ABSTRACT

This paper documents the occurrence of Skolithos linearis, Planolites beverleyensis, and Thalassinoides isp. in the Cárdenas Formation (Late Cretaceous), southeastern San Luis Potosí, central Mexico. This is the second record of S. linearis for this lithological unit, while P. beverleyensis and Thalassinoides isp. are documented for the very first time in this formation. The ichnoassemblage is referred to the Cruziana Ichnofacies which, in concert with associated invertebrate body fossils (Exogyra costata), suggest a shoreface setting with moderate- to low-energy conditions and episodic storms.
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RESUMEN

El presente artículo documenta la presencia de Skolithos linearis, Planolites beverleyensis y Thalassinoides isp. en rocas de la Formación Cárdenas (Cretácico Tardío), sureste de San Luis Potosí, en el centro de México. La presencia de S. linearis representa el segundo registro de esta icnofauna en esta unidad litológica, mientras que P. beverleyensis y Thalassinoides isp. son documentadas por primera vez en esta formación. El icnoensamble fue asignado a la Icnofacies de Cruziana, la cual, en conjunto con los invertebrados fósiles asociados (Exogyra costata), sugiere un ambiente de costa con condiciones de energía moderada a baja y episodios de tormenta.
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1. Introduction

The importance of invertebrate traces in paleontology, sedimentology, and stratigraphy has been demonstrated in several works (Pemberton et al., 2000, 2002; MacEachern et al., 2007, 2012). A remarkable characteristic of trace fossils is the amount of information that can provide concerning sedimentary environments (MacEachern et al., 2012). These biogenic structures give evidence of energy, sediment grain size, substrate type and consistency, food supply, water turbidity, oxygenation levels, and temperature (Allington-Jones et al., 2010; MacEachern et al., 2012).

Moreover, bioturbation intensity depends on various factors, such as trace maker population densities, types, rates, and depths of trace makers activities, as well as the period over which environmental conditions are favorable for colonization—the colonization window—(Rhoads, 1975; Pollard et al., 1993). When these colonization windows are only briefly open, individual trace fossils are more evident (Savdra, 2009).

The sensitivity of many animals to environmental conditions means that the trace fossils associations can be correspondingly more sensitive environmental indicators than inorganic sedimentary structures (Crimes, 1975). According to Allington-Jones et al. (2010), these associations tend to change progressively with depth, creating a basis for relative bathymetry.

The Cárdenas Formation has been studied for more than 100 years and several studies have demonstrated its paleontological significance documenting ammonites (Ifrim et al., 2005), brachiopods (Myers, 1968; Pérez-Martínez, 2010), corals (Navarro-Moctezuma, 2004; Baron-Szabo et al., 2006), crustaceans (Vega et al., 1995), echinoderms (Myers, 1968; Navarro-Moctezuma, 2004; Marín-Ávila, 2012), bivalves, gastropods (Böse, 1906), ostracods (Caus et al., 2002), rudists (Böse, 1906; Schafhauser et al., 2007; Oviedo-García, 2005; Pons et al., 2013), and foraminifers (Barker and Grimsdale, 1937; Carrillo-Bravo, 1971; Aguilar et al., 2002; Caus et al., 2002; Omaña et al., 2008, 2012, 2013). Its ichnological record includes traces of Ophiomorpha nodosa, Skolithos linearis, Diplocraterion parallelum, and Palaeophycus tubularis (Palma-Ramírez et al., 2019).

This paper documents for the very first time the trace fossils occurring in the Cárdenas Formation exposed at the Potrero del Carnero locality, southeastern San Luis Potosí, and discusses their paleoenvironmental implications.

2. Geological setting and study area

Initially, the clastic rocks that constitute the Cárdenas Formation were first described by Böse (1906) in the surroundings of the city of Cárdenas, San Luis Potosí State, with the name of “División Cárdenas”. Later, Burckhardt (1930) used the term “Capas Cárdenas” for this sequence, and subsequently, Imlay (1944) elevated it to formation status. Myers (1968) divided this unit into three informal members (lower, middle, and upper) and proposed three biostratigraphic units based on its invertebrate body fossil assemblages (Durania ojanachalensis, Arostrea aguilerae, and Tampsia floriformis Zones). Subsequent work by Carrillo-Bravo (1971) proposed four members (Member 1, Member 2, Member 3, and Member 4) according to the lithological features of this unit. The Cárdenas Formation is a 1,050 m thick unit, deposited during the Upper Cretaceous in a shallow marine setting characterized by fine siliciclastic rocks with interbedded limestone during a transgressive event, cropping out in an asymmetric syncline of the folded Sierra Madre Oriental (Myers, 1968; Carrillo-Bravo, 1971; López-Ramos, 1980).

This unit overlies the El Abra and Tamasopo formations (Myers, 1968; Santamaria-Orozco et al., 1990; Omaña et al., 2012), and it is unconformably overlain by the Tabaco Formation (Myers, 1968; López-Ramos, 1980; Schafhauser et al., 2007). In accordance with its stratigraphic relations and fossil content, the unit is Campanian-Maastrichtian in age (Carrillo-Bravo, 1971; Schafhauser et al., 2007; Omaña et al., 2012).
The study area is located in the Valles-San Luis Potosí Platform (VSLPP), a Mesozoic paleogeographic positive element where diverse evaporitic and marine Jurassic units, as well as shallow and reef Cretaceous carbonates, were deposited (Carrillo-Bravo, 1971). All these units underlay sandy and argillaceous with calcareous influence sediments (Carrillo-Bravo, 1971; Maldonado-Sarabia and Ríos-Vázquez, 2020). At Potrero del Carnero, Rayón municipality, southwestern San Luis Potosí State, the Cárdenas Formation conformably overlies the Tamasopo Formation (Figure 1; 21°52'18.84 N, 99°26'49.19 W).

The only exposed outcrop is composed of a 13 m thick package which begins with limestone horizons of 0.50 to 1.10 m thick, light-brown on weathered surface and grey on fresh surface, with rudists, gastropods, and bivalve impressions. Also, there are sporadic thin horizons (around 0.1 m in thickness) of light-brown siltstone on fresh surfaces. Toward the top of the section, it consists of approximately 2.5 m of sandstone, gray in color on fresh surfaces and ocher on weathered surfaces, intercalated with gray lutite beds. Beds of sandstones range in thickness from 0.18 m to 0.55 m. The lutite is similar to the sandstones in color, with beds being 0.15 m to 0.36 m in thickness.

The trace fossils studied here come from the last 2.5 m of the section and are preserved in the sandstone and lutite beds. In the calcareous levels stratigraphically below the beds bearing the ichnofossils (Figure 2), Flores-Cadenas et al., (2018) recognized the benthic foraminifera Praechubbinia, Chubbina, Cuneolina, as well as miliolids, and nezzazatids from the Campanian-Maastrichtian. However, the presence of the echinoderm Hemiaster and the ostreid Exogyra costata allow to correlate the ichnofossiliferous beds at Potrero del Carnero with the Arcostrea aguilerae biozone, in the middle portion of the Cárdenas Formation (early Maastrichtian) (Sohl and Kauffman, 1964; Myers, 1965, 1968; Vega et al., 1995).

Figure 1 Geographical location and geological map of the studied area. Modified from Maldonado-Sarabia and Rios-Vázquez (2020).
3. Methodology

The trace fossils come from a unique stratigraphic section measured and sampled at Potrero del Carnero locality (Figure 2). Since bed-by-bed sampling was not the main aim of the primary field survey, only a gross stratigraphic assignment is provided. Ichnofossils referred to and figured in this paper were photographed in the field and were not collected. In order to identify the ichnospecies we used the works published by Hadelman (1840), Billings (1862), Ehrenberg (1944), Buatois and Mángano (2011), and Fernández and Pazos (2012). Sedimentary structures, trace fossils, invertebrate fossils, and the intensity of bioturbation were considered for interpretation of the environment of deposition. We follow the scheme proposed by Taylor and Goldring (1993) to indicate the bioturbation intensity of the beds.

Bioturbation index (BI) refers to the determination of the extent of bioturbation or the degree to which the original physical sedimentary structures have been disrupted by biogenic reworking (Droser and Bottjer, 1989; Taylor and Goldring, 1993).

![Figure 2](http://dx.doi.org/10.18268/BSGM2022v74n2a230422)

Figure 2 | Stratigraphic section of the Cárdenas Formation in Potrero del Carnero outcrop. Notice that trace fossils just crop near to the top of the studied section.
BI categorizes the extent of bioturbation into seven classes: BI=0, no bioturbation (0%); BI=1, sparse bioturbation with few discrete traces (1-4%); BI=2, low bioturbation in sediment that still has preserved sedimentary structures (5-30%); BI=3, moderate bioturbation and still distinguishable bedding boundaries (31-60%); BI=4, intense bioturbation, high trace-fossil density, common overlap of trace fossils, and primary sedimentary structures are mostly erased (61-90%); BI=5, sediment completely disturbed bedding and intense bioturbation (91-99%); BI=6, completely bioturbated and reworked sediment (100%).

4. Systematic ichnology

Ichnogenus *Planolites* Nicholson, 1873

*Planolites beverleyensis* Billings, 1862

**Description:** Traces preserved as positive epirelief, straight to slightly curved, unbranched cylindrical burrows, horizontal to bedding planes. Burrows have smooth surface walls, are 0.8-2.3 cm diameter and up to 30 cm long. The passive fill in the burrow is constituted by gray lutite and the wall lining is not observed (Figures 3C to 3F).

**Remarks:** *Planolites* is ethologically interpreted as pascichnia of deposit feeders (Alpert, 1975; Fürsich, 1998; Knaust, 2010) produced by worms, insects, arthropods, mollusks, or infaunal holothuroids (Bromley, 1996; Uchman, 1998; Buatois and Mángano, 2002; Chen et al., 2011). *Planolites* is distinguished from *Palaeophycus* by the presence of wall linings and by a burrow-fill identical to the host rock (Pemberton and Frey, 1982; Mángano et al., 2005). *Planolites beverleyensis* can be separated from *P. montanus* by a minor diameter (*P. montanus* rarely exceeds 5 mm in diameter while *P. beverleyensis* rarely is less than 8 mm in diameter) and a markedly more sinuous and undulose of the latter (Pemberton and Frey, 1982).

Ichnogenus *Skolithos* Haldeman, 1840

*Skolithos linearis* Haldeman, 1840

**Description:** Straight, vertical to inclined, unbranched cylindrical burrows, with smooth walls. The burrow fill is homogeneous, structureless, medium to fine-grained sandstone, and is similar in composition to the host rock. Burrows are from 0.58 cm to 0.78 cm in width and have a maximum length of 6.238 cm; however, total length is difficult to determine because most specimens pass across the beds (Figure 3A and 3B).

**Remarks:** *Skolithos* is documented in a broad variety of environments but is most typical of shallow-water, high-energy settings (Pervesler et al., 2011). It is interpreted as dwelling (domichnia) and feeding (fodinichnia) burrows of annelids, sipunculids, pholerons and polychaete worms, crustaceans, anemones, and probably insects and spiders (Alpert, 1974; Bromley, 1996; Schrilf and Uchman, 2005). From a total of 35 recognized species of *Skolithos*, Alpert (1974) validated only five: *S. verticalis* (Hall), *S. linearis* Haldeman, *S. magnus* Howell, *S. ingens* Howell, and *S. annulatus* Howell. The main difference between *S. verticalis* with *S. linearis* and *S. magnus* is the greater diameter in the latter two cases (3–7 mm and 6–12 mm, respectively) (Alpert, 1974; Fernández and Páezos, 2012). On the other hand, *S. ingens* and *S. annulatus*, possess a greater diameter and exhibit characteristically ornamented walls (protuberances in *S. ingens* and annulation in *S. annulatus*), which are absent in *S. verticalis* (Fernández and Páezos, 2012).

Ichnogenus *Thalassinoides* Ehrenberg, 1944

*Thalassinoides* isp. Reit, 1932

**Description:** Horizontal, branched, cylindrical burrow system with dichotomous bifurcations, from 4 cm to 11 cm in length. Burrows have smooth surface walls, bifurcate in Y or T form with their diameters increasing at bifurcation points, giving the appearance of bulbs or irregular inflations. The tubular wall lining is not observed (Figure 3G to 3I).

**Remarks:** *Thalassinoides* burrows are considered as fodicichnia, domichnia, and agrichnia structures (Bromley and Ekdale, 1984; Bromley, 1996; Miller, 2001; Ekdale and Bromley, 2003), attributed to decapod crustaceans, probably thalassinid shrimps or shrimp-like organism, crabs, sea anemones, and acorn worms (Bromley, 1996; Kim et al., 2002; Ekdale and Bromley, 2003; Pervesler and Uchmann, 2009; Chen et al., 2011, 2012). In accordance
with Föllmi and Grimm (1990), the crustaceans producing *Thalassinoides* may survive transport in turbidity currents and build burrows under anoxic conditions during a limited number of days. Some of the features associated with *Thalassinoides* are shared with other burrows made by crustaceans namely *Ophiomorpha* and *Spongeliomorpha* (Myrow, 1995). However, *Thalassinoides* can be distinguished from the others based on the differences among the burrows according to the specific behavioral patterns to specific taxa (Myrow, 1995). In particular, *Thalassinoides* lacks the pelletal lining of *Ophiomorpha* (Frey et al., 1978) and the scratchings of *Spongeliomorpha* (Bromley, 1967; Frey, 1970).

5. Discussion

The trace fossil record from the Cárdenas Formation at Potrero del Carnero is characterized by

---

Figure 3 Trace fossils of the Cárdenas Formation in Potrero del Carnero locality. A-B, *Skolithos linearis*; C, cross-section of *Planolites beverleyensis*; D-F, positive epirelief of *Planolites beverleyensis*; G-H, *Thalassinoides* isp.; I, positive epirelief of *P. beverleyensis* and *Thalassinoidea* isp.
burrows belonging to *Planolites beverleyensis*, *Skolithos linearis*, and *Thalassinoides* isp. The worms and crustaceans are the most probable trace makers of these traces. The aforementioned is congruent with that reported by Vega et al., (1995), who documented the presence of five decapod families, including two genera of callianassid shrimp. Individual traces are well preserved and are relatively abundant on some beds, which corresponds to BI=3 (moderate) for all bioturbated beds following the proposal of Taylor and Goldring (1993). This grade indicates a moderate level of bioturbation and still distinguishable bedding boundaries (Taylor and Goldring, 1993). The low diversity but high abundance of traces could be explained by stress factors such as oxygen depletion, brackish waters, hypersalinity, high energy, or biological influences such as predation (Taylor and Goldring, 1993; Buatois and Mángano, 2013).

Regarding the traces described herein, *Skolithos* is mainly recognized in shallow-water environments (Droser and Bottjer, 1989; Fillion and Pickering 1990; Singh et al., 2008), but also rarely in non-marine settings and deep seas (Neto, 2007; Buatois and Mángano, 2011). This ichnogenus is also typical of the homonymous storm-related ichnofacies (Pemberton and Frey, 1984), and of high-energetic traction sedimentation (Mángano and Buatois, 2004). The ichnospicies *Skolithos linearis* is commonly interbedded between the lower offshore and the offshore transition facies, and it records a distinctive onshore-offshore trend (Mángano et al., 2005). Meanwhile *Planolites* is a eurybathic, extremely facies-crossing ichnogenus (Pemberton and Frey, 1982; Fillion and Pickering, 1990). In particular, *Planolites beverleyensis* has been recorded in tempestites (Buatois and Mángano, 2011), as well as in lagoon and offshore bar settings (Tegan, 1992). Finally, *Thalassinoides* is a facies-crossing trace fossil, most typical of shallow-marine environment (Frey et al., 1978), associated with both firm and softgrounds (Myrow, 1995, MacEachern et al., 2007).

At Potrero del Carnero, the occurrence of vertical structures indicates opportunistic colonization events, whereas, the presence of horizontal structures is related to fair weather conditions (Perversler and Uchman, 2004; Benkhedda et al., 2021). On the one hand, the presence of *Skolithos linearis* could indicate a storm-related high-energy environment of the lower to middle shoreface (MacEachern et al., 2012), however, more detailed sedimentological studies are needed to reveal this setting. On the other hand, the presence of *Thalassinoides* could be interpreted as a shoreface depositional setting, which is supported by the presence of the ostreid *Exogyra costata* that finds favorable conditions in low energy environments (Myers, 1965). In addition, the co-occurrence of *Thalassinoides* and *Planolites*, which are essentially horizontal structures, suggest unconsolidated substrate experiencing relatively moderate to low energy in subtidal conditions (Malarkodi et al., 2009). Furthermore, simple morphologies, such as *Thalassinoides*, *Skolithos*, and *Planolites*, are related to salinity-stressed environments, dominating in brackish-water settings (Gingras et al., 2011).

This ichnological assemblage is dominated by dwelling (domichnia) and feeding (fodichnia) traces attributed to suspension- or deposit-feeding organisms, characteristics of the proximal *Cruziana* Ichnofacies (Fürtsch, 1998; Buatois et al., 2002); which is transitional with the *Skolithos* Ichnofacies, and typical of the lower shoreface (Buatois and Mángano, 2011). Besides, the *Cruziana* Ichnofacies is associated with unconsolidated marine substrates, occurring mainly below fair-weather wave base and above storm weather wave base (Pemberton et al., 2001; Patel and Patel, 2015).

Previously, based on an ichnological approach, Palma-Ramírez et al. (2019) document the *Skolithos* Ichnofacies in a section of the Cárdenas Formation located southwest of the studied area in this work. These authors suggest a marginal marine setting under low to high energy for early-late Maaschrichtian rocks of the Cárdenas Formation exposed at Amoladeras, Rayón municipality, San Luis Potosí state. Due to the *Cruziana* Ichnofacies represents a deeper environment than *Skolithos* Ichnofacies, and because the age of the studied section here is older than the reported by the section studied by Palma-Ramírez et al., (2019), it is possible to infer that...
there was a decrease in the sea level throughout the early Maastrichtian in this area. Those above, agree with the documented transgressive-regressive conditions in the VSLPP, where sediment sources for the Cárdenas Formation were located to the west and northwest. The sediments filled an elongated shallow basin that was bordered to the east by a barrier that represented the beginning of folding and uplift of the Sierra Madre Oriental during the initial Laramide pulsations (Vega et al., 1995).

6. Conclusions

The ichnological study of the Cárdenas Formation at Potrero del Carnero revealed a low ichnodiversity constituted by horizontal and sub-vertical burrows belonging to *Skolithos linearis*, *Planolites beverleyensis*, and *Thalassinoides* isp. Both, *Planolites beverleyensis* and *Thalassinoides* isp. represent the first record of these ichnospecies for this lithological unit. This ichnoassemblage corresponds to the proximal *Cruziana* Ichnofacies, suggesting moderate- to low-energy conditions in which food particles tend to accumulate on the sea floor rather than being kept in, with episodic storms.
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