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**ABSTRACT**

A new species of nephrid pap lobster, *Metanephrops serendipitus* sp. nov., is described from the lower Miocene (Ottnangian/Karpatian) in beds of Central Paratethys. *Metanephrops serendipitus* sp. nov. represents the first fossil representative of the genus from the northern hemisphere and thus extends its known palaeobiogeographical distribution. Additionally, the palaeoecology of the new species is discussed. Similar to extant species of *Metanephrops*, the fossil nephropid inhabited a fine-grained deep-sea environment, associated with frequent brittle stars.

**Keywords:** Decapoda, Nephropidae, south-central Europe, Central Paratethys, palaeobiogeography.

**RESUMEN**

Se describe una nueva especie de langosta nefrópida, *Metanephrops serendipitus* sp. nov., del Mioceno inferior hallada en los fondos marinos del Paratetis Central (Ottnangian / Karpatian). El ejemplar *Metanephrops serendipitus* sp. nov. representa el primer fósil del género procedente del hemisferio norte, por lo cual, también se agrega su distribución paleobiogeográfica. Además, se discute la paleoecología de esta nueva especie. Al igual que las especies existentes de *Metanephrops*, el fósil nefrópido habitaba un entorno de grano fino en aguas profundas y estaba asociado frecuentemente con estrellas de mar quebradizas.

**Palabras clave:** Decapoda, Nephropidae, centro-sur de Europa, Central Paratethys, paleobiogeografía.
1. Introduction

The clawed lobster genus *Metanephrops* Jenkins, 1972 is the most diverse one within the family Nephropidae, comprising 18 known extant and 3 fossil species (Tshudy *et al.*, 2007; Chan *et al.*, 2009; Tshudy and Chan, 2014). A fragmentary chela from the upper Eocene (Priabonian) of northern Italy (Garassino *et al.*, 2003) tentatively assigned to *Metanephrops* sp. cannot be confirmed as belonging to this genus. The Pliocene fossil *Metanephrops elongatus* Hu and Tao, 1996 from Taiwan is a *nomen dubium*, but definitely not a *Metanephrops* (Tshudy and Chan, 2014). *Metanephrops* bears a strong morphological resemblance to *Nephrops* Leach, 1814, to which its members were previously assigned (Jenkins, 1972), although subsequent cladistic analyses show that this similarity is the result of morphological convergence (Tshudy *et al.*, 2009).

Extant species of *Metanephrops* inhabit outer continental shelf and continental slope environments of a muddy bottom, with depth ranging between 50 and 994 meters (Chan, 1997). Most specimens were collected from depths greater than 150 m (Tshudy, 2003) from the Central and West Indo-Pacific between 35°N and 50°S latitude. *Metanephrops* has a very patchy fossil record. *Metanephrops taiwanicus* (Hu, 1983) is the only extant species with a fossil record (Miocene and Recent from Taiwan; Tshudy and Chan, 2014). The oldest fossil occurrence is the Late Cretaceous *M. rossensis* Feldmann *et al.*, 1993 from the Campanian of Antarctica. Another species described from shallow-water settings of Antarctica is *M. jenkinsi* Feldmann, 1989 from Late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian) to Paleocene strata.

Based on these data and a Pliocene species of *M. motunauensis* Jenkins, 1972 from New Zealand, Feldmann and Tshudy (1989) proposed that *Metanephrops* evolved in the high southern latitudes, in shallow water, and then radiated into lower latitude, deep-water settings. Molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses supported this hypothesis and further suggested that these lobsters originated in the Antarctica, with a dispersal pattern associated to the breakup of the Southern Temperate Gondwana (Tshudy *et al.*, 2007; Chan *et al.*, 2009).

2. Geology and stratigraphy of the locality

A new specimen of nephropid lobster was found in yellowish marls that form a talus deposit below the Meljski hrib near Maribor, Slovenia (46°33’38”N, 15°40’33”E) (Figure 1). The Meljski hrib locality is known for fossil remains of Miocene invertebrates and fossil wood (Mikuž and Gašparič, 2014). The fossiliferous beds are located on the north-eastern outskirts of Maribor and form almost vertical cliffs of around 100 meters height (Figure 2). The bottom part of the profile consists of dark grey siltstones and sandstones with rare macrofossils. Gašparič and Halasova (2015) have reported on finds of decapod crustaceans, including the crab, *Styrioplax exiguus* Glaessner, 1928, and chelae of callianassid ghost shrimps from this locality. Higher up, the light grey marls are harder and interbedded with frequent concretions layers of calcite crystals. These layers contain a rare macrofauna of bivalves and echinoids (Mikuž and Gašparič, 2014). The presented lobster specimen was found in the yellowish marls with mass mortality accumulations of brittle stars that originate from the hardly accessible top part of the cliffs.

These deposits structurally belong to the Pannonian Basin (Placer, 1999), and in the narrower sense to the tectonic unit of Slovenske gorice (Pavšič and Horvat, 2009). Miocene strata of Meljski hrib were deposited in the Mura Depression, in the western part of the Mura-Zala Basin of the Central Paratethys (Fodor *et al.*, 2002). The Mura Depression, in the west, was part of the Slovenian Corridor, a seaway between the Central Paratethys and the Mediterranean (Rögl, 1999; Bartol *et al.*, 2014).

The Central Paratethys underwent significant palaeogeographical changes during the Miocene. In the Early Miocene, extensional tectonic regime and synrift subsidence resulted in the forma-
tion of deep elongated sedimentation basins (half-grabens) and a marine transgression via the Eastern Paratethys and Mediterranean (Pavšič and Horvat, 2009; Rifelj and Jelen, 2001). In the Middle Miocene, the Central Paratethys reached its maximum extent and consisted of an unbroken chain of epicontinental basins linked to the Mediterranean in the west and the Indo-Pacific in the east (Rögl, 1998; Kováč et al., 2007). By the late Middle Miocene, the situation had changed, the oceanic connections had closed and the Central Paratethys became reduced both in size and salinity (Bartol et al., 2014).

Marine deposits in this basin stretch across northeast Slovenia and have been dated from a lower Miocene (Burdigalian/Karpatian) to a middle Miocene (Serravallian/Sarmatian) age (Pavšič and Horvat, 2009; Žnidarčič and Mioč, 1989). Stratigraphy relies mostly on foraminifera (Rijavec, 1976; 1978) and in some cases on calcareous nannoplankton (Bartol, 2009; Bartol et al., 2013; Gašparič and Halasova, 2015).

Results of nannoplankton sampling of the matrix surrounding the nephropid lobster confirm its early Miocene age. The sample can be attributed to NN4 biozone based on the co-occurrence of Sphenolithus heteromorphus Deflandre 1953 and Helicosphaera ampliaperta Bramlette and Wilcoxon 1967. This zone is defined as the interval between the first occurrence of S. heteromorphus (18 Ma) in the Burdigalian and the last occurrence of H. ampliaperta (14.9 Ma) in the Langhian (Young, 1998). Nannoplankton Zone NN4 spans three Paratethian regional stages: Ottnangian, Karpatian, and lower Badenian (Figure 3). The absence of Helicosphaera waltrans Theodoridis 1984, which was observed in the lower Badenian (upper NN4 and lower NN5) of the Central Paratethys, points to the attribution of the investigated sample to the lower NN4 (Ottnangian/Karpatian).

3. Material and methods

The present study is based on a single specimen of a nephropid lobster that is preserved in a yellowish marl. The specimen was found in a talus below a steep cliff in two parts. Chelipeds were recovered from a block containing numerous specimens of undetermined brittle stars (Mikuž and Gašparič,
2014); the associated part of carapace was serendipitously recovered five years later in the same location. The specimen is preserved laterally compressed and fully articulated. The anterior part of the carapace does not lie fully parallel to the bedding plane and is slightly rotated. Specimen parts RGA/SMNH 2295, RGA/SMNH 2296, RGA/SMNH 1590 and RGA/SMNH 1591 are registered as part of palaeontological collections at the Natural History Museum in Ljubljana (Slovenia).

The specimen was prepared and studied under a Leica EZ 4D stereomicroscope. Photographs were taken with a digital camera Nikon Z50 and whitened with ammonium chloride sublimate prior to photography in order to enhance details of the cuticle ornamentation. Photographs were subsequently developed in Photoshop CS6 to correct for light, contrast, colour and were inverted to approximate positive relief where needed.

Calcareous nannofossil smear slides were prepared using standard procedures described by Perch-Nielsen (1985) and examined under light microscope LEICA DMLP (crossed and parallel nicols) with 1000x magnification. For the biostratigraphical attribution, standard calcareous nannofossil zonation (NN) established by Martini (1971) was applied. For palaeoecological analyses 304, specimens were counted and statistically presented.

**Abbreviations.** RGA/SMNH - Slovenian Museum of Natural History, Ljubljana, Slovenia (R. Gašparič Collection).

4. Systematic palaeontology

Class Malacostraca LATREILLE, 1802
Order Decapoda LATREILLE, 1802
Family Nephropidae DANA, 1852
Genus *Metanephrops* JENKINS, 1972

**Type species:** *Nephrops japonicus* TAPPARONE-CANEFRI, 1873, by original designation.

**Diagnosis:** Carapace slightly compressed laterally; rostrum elongate with a single pair of
lateral spines; dorsolateral margins of rostrum continued back onto carapace as more or less parallel ridges bearing 3 to 5 pairs of prominent spines; antennal spine greatly expanded, lamellate; usually 7 longitudinal ridges on carapace posterior to postcervical groove. Scaphocerite broad, often circular in shape. First pair of pereiopods almost symmetrical (after Jenkins, 1972).

Remarks: Genera that are morphologically similar to Metanephrops are: Nephrops, to which most of the extant Metanephrops species have been previously assigned, Paraclythia and Hoploparia. Metanephrops can be distinguished easily from Hoploparia by the possession of a supraorbital carina with three to five spines, three pairs of thoracic carinae and isochelous first pereiopods. From Nephrops it differs by the presence of the branchial carina, which is absent in Nephrops and prominent supraorbital and antennal carina, which are distinctly shorter in Nephrops. Unlike Metanephrops, Paraclythia has highly sculpted abdominal terga and pleura, and the submedian carinae on the telson of Paraclythia converge posteriorly, while those of Metanephrops diverge. Paraclythia also differs from Metanephrops by its distinctly heterochelous chelipeds, which are more like those of homarid lobsters.

Figure 3  Chronostratigraphic chart of the Miocene, showing the Mediterranean and Central Paratethyan stages. The age of locality based on nannoplankton analysis is marked in grey. Modified after Kováč et al., 2018.
**Metanephrops serendipitus** sp. nov.

**Figures 4, 5 and 6A-H**

**Etymology:** The specific name refers to the serendipitous find of the holotype carapace, which was found five years later than the associated chelipeds from the same location.

**Diagnosis:** Medium-sized *Metanephrops* with a robust body and long, slender and almost equal chelae. Spinulose carapace with three teeth on supraorbital carinae and three pairs of robust, wide and spinulose carinae of the branchiostegite. The intermediate carina splits and forms two separate ridges. Carapace spines including the antennal spine ornamented with rounded pits with a raised posterior rim, appearing spinulose themselves. Abdominal tergites marked by a transverse groove; especially in tergites 2 and 3, which also bear a concave “eyebrow” furrow and a bilobate tergite-pleuron boundary; tergite cuticle coarsely pitted. Tailfan spinulose; telson sub-rectangular, with spines at the postero-lateral angles and a pair of submedian spines in the anterior part. The first pair of pereiopods long and slender; over twice the length of carapace; chelae fingers as long as the palm, occlusal margin of the propodus adorned with a row of small, sharp teeth; every fifth tooth larger than the rest and a single large triangular tooth at the proximal quarter length from the hinge.

**Types:** The holotype is RGA/SMNH 2295 (cephalothorax and abdomen) (Figures 5 and 6A-C), together with RGA/SMNH 2296 (tail fan) (Figure 6D) and RGA/SMNH 1590/1591 (chelipeds) (Figures 5 and 6F-H).

**Measurements of holotype** (See table 1).

**Type locality:** Meljški hrib, Maribor (Slovenia).

**Stratigraphical age:** Early Miocene (Ottangian/Karpatian; nannofossil biozone NN4 (Martini, 1971)).

**Distribution:** To date, known only from the type locality.

**Description:** Medium-sized lobster with a robust body and long, slender and almost equal chelae, showing all the characteristic features of the genus as described by Jenkins (1972).

The rostrum is slender and approximately half of the carapace length, about as long as antennal peduncle. Partially preserved in dorsal view and damaged (Figure 6E). The dorsal surface of the rostrum is concave with a median dorsal carina. A pair of lateral rostral teeth at mid-length (broken) and an anteriorly positioned robust subrostral spine.

A pair of well-developed supraorbital (postrostral) dorsal carinae continue posteriorly from the rostrum to the cervical groove. Each carina is armed with three even-spaced strong teeth. The carinae converge posteriorly in straight lines.
towards the postcervical groove. The teeth are pitted and decrease in size posteriorly from the largest anterior tooth, which is the only one with a slightly concave dorsal border; the others are straight. The region between the carinae is flat, depressed and finely pitted. Posterior to the postcervical groove, the ridges continue in a pair of thoracic median carinae, which are adorned by seven smaller spines of equal size, except for the posterior two, which are smaller. The area between the teeth of thoracic median carinae is ornamented with anteriorly facing scales.

The postcervical groove is strong and deeply impressed, running across the carapace at two thirds length. The dorsal portion of the groove is vertical and strongly concave anteriorly; lateral portion obliquely crosses the branchiostegite and becomes shallower anteriorly and ventrally. The groove is broad, smooth, with a shallow anterior margin and a more defined posterior margin, especially in the dorsal portion, where a parallel ridge is formed posteriorly. By contrast, hepatic and cervical grooves are faintly impressed; cervical groove curves behind the antennal spine and extends half of the carapace dorsally.

Carapace is generally covered with spines and spinules (Figure 6C); antennal spine is the prominent and starts as a raised ridge anterior of the faint cervical groove; anteriorly strengthening and flattening to broad, flat and laminar spine curved slightly upwards anteriorly; extending beyond the orbit line. Additional pair of prominent spines is positioned higher and posterior to the antennal spine; first one at mid carapace, just anterior to the postcervical groove there is a strong cervical spine followed anteriorly by an almost equally strong gastrolateral spine. A small hepatic spine is present ventrally in a smooth depression close to the postcervical groove. A few further very small spines are situated in the region where the supraorbital carinae meet the postcervical groove. Several spines are situated on the upper antero-lateral part of the carapace. A small antero-laterally directed spine lies behind the posterior orbital margin. A smaller and less acute spine lies immediately below it. All prominent spines are ornamented by roun-
DED spinulose pits with raised posterior rim likely representing setal pits.

The branchiostegite region is decorated by three pairs of carinae, which are progressively wider and stronger from dorsal to ventral. The narrow uppermost intermediate carina runs parallel to the dorsal margin; the anterior half is split in two separate ridges, each ending with a small obtuse spine; whole carina is covered with small blunt spinules. The second carina is slightly downturned and posteriorly joined with the intermediate carina by a raised area covered with small blunt spinules which get coarser ventrally. Branchial carina is raised; wider posteriorly and bearing coarser pointy spinules; anteriorly it ends with a small pointy spine. The broad, lowermost lateral carina is oblique, pointed downwards anteriorly and is densely covered by squamous, anteriorly directed spinules; ending with a pointy upturned spine. The area between the branchial and lateral carinae bears a couple of smaller spines located posteriorly in the area where both carinae meet.

Topography of the abdominal tergites is partly obscured by compaction and deformation (Figure 6B). Tergite cuticle is very unusual among clawed lobsters in being very coarsely pitted—almost reticulate so at just above the pleura. We considered but rejected the possibility that this pitting was a taphonomic artefact.

Abdomen with tergite 1 rectangular, narrowest dorsally, widening slightly, gradually towards the pleuron. Tergites 2, 3, 4 rectangular, of similar shape and proportions. Tergite-pleuron boundary bilobate on segments 2, 3; less obviously on segments 4, 5. Anterior lobe larger than posterior one. Tergites 2, 3 marked by an arcuate transverse groove that extends anterodorsally from the posterolateral corner; groove appears to terminate below dorsomedian. On tergite 4, this groove is apparent only laterally. Tergites 2, 3 marked by a concave-down, ‘eyebrow’ furrow (see Tshudy et al., 2007). Cuticle here is much smoother, less pitted. Tergites have articular surfaces mostly smooth, with sparse minute pits. Articular and non-articular surfaces separated by a transverse groove. Dorsal margin of the sixth abdominal segment is armed with two posteriorly directed small spines.

Abdominal pleuron 1 small, obtusely triangular and directed ventrally. On somites 2–5, the boundary between the tergites and the pleura is marked by a sharp drop-off from the inflated tergite margin. Pleuron 2 subquadrate, with almost straight to slightly convex front margin and recurved hind margin forming a posteriorly shaped spine. Pleuron 2 is, by far, broadest. Pleura 3, 4, 5 petal-like, of similar width (5 narrower) and terminating in a posteriorly-directed spine. The lower posterior portion of the second to fifth pleura, just behind the tip, contains a distinct subcircular pit. The ventral margins of pleurae are serrated. Surface of pleura 2-5 with a submedian ridge; finely pitted and ornamented with small, elongate granulations.

The telson is subrectangular, with gently convex lateral and posterior margins with well-developed spines at the postero-lateral angles (Figure 6D). There are longitudinal lateral depressions and a median triangular depression posterior to the pair of submedian spines situated in the anterior part; otherwise the telson is finely spinulose. The posterior margin of the telson is fringed with long setae. Uropods are incompletely preserved. Endopod spinulose, with longitudinal median ridge; diaeresis border of the lobed basal segment bears small spinules.

Table 1. Key measurements of Metanephrops serendipitus sp. nov. (in millimetres).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rostrum</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carapace</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tergit I</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tergit II</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tergit III</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tergit IV</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tergit V</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tergit VI</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telson</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length</td>
<td>111.0</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New deep-water lobster, *Metanephrops serendipitus* sp. nov. (Crustacea, Decapoda, Nephropidae)

First, fourth and fifth pair of pereiopods are preserved, but lack their proximal segments; thus, ischium and merus are not known. The first pair of pereiopods is well developed, long and slender; well over twice the length of carapace (Figure 4); slightly asymmetrical, the left chela being slightly longer and slenderer than the right (Figure 6F). The carpus is elongated and granulated, a strong spine is present proximally at the dorsal edge of the articulation with the propodus. Propodus is by far the longest segment, being about four and a half times of the length of the carpus. The surface is coarsely granulated and does not exhibit any ridges, but this could be due to the flattened preservation; a distinct spine lies at the dorsal edge of the articulation with the dactylus. Chela appears dorso-ventrally compressed; fingers are as long as the palm, gently curved, decorated by a single row of coarse granules and ending in a curved pincer-like, hooked tips. The occlusal margin of the fixed finger of the right chela is adorned with a row of small, sharp teeth; about every fifth tooth is larger than the rest; the teeth get gradually smaller distally (Figure 6H). The cutting edge is interrupted at about one fourth of its length from the hinge by a single large triangular tooth. Proximal to this interruption the teeth are very small. The cutting edge of the dactylus is similar, with the exception that there is no prominent triangular tooth, and the row of smaller teeth opposite from it is further reduced in size. The bigger teeth on the dactylus are positioned to be interspaced between the bigger teeth of fixed finger and never achieve their size. The distalmost parts before the curved tips are smooth and not armed by teeth. The occlusal margin of the left chela is simpler, adorned with regularly interspaced small sharp teeth; there are several bigger teeth on both fixed finger as well as on the dactylus, but they do not exhibit the pattern of regularity or size of the teeth in the right chela (Figure 6G).

The fourth and fifth pereiopods are long and slender, irregularly covered with coarser granules, less dense as on the first pereiopods. The eye and antennae are partially preserved; corneae are large and ovate. Antennae are robust, long and straight and appear more rigid then the antennulae.

4.1. DISCUSSION

Extant species of *Metanephrops* have traditionally been divided into four morphologic groups based on the form and ornamentation of the cephalothorax, abdominal terga, and the chelipeds (see Jenkins, 1972; Chan, 1997). Three of these have been supported as monophyletic in a recent cladistic analysis (Tshudy *et al.*, 2007), but molecular analysis only proved two of them as monophyletic (Chan *et al.*, 2009).

The *binghami* group is characterized by a generally smooth carapace except for a heavily spinulose cervical groove, unsculptured abdominal terga, uropods spineless dorsally, and by chelipeds that exhibit six spiny, longitudinal carinae and a flat outer margin. The *japonicus* group is characterized by a generally smooth carapace, distinctly sculptured abdominal terga, uropods spineless dorsally, and spiny, carinate chelipeds with an outer border somewhat flat but with a longitudinal, medial depression. The *thomsoni* group is characterized by a smooth carapace, abdominal terga that are either completely unsculptured or traversed by a transverse furrow interrupted medially, uropods spineless dorsally and also by weakly carinate, nearly smooth to finely granulate chelipeds with outer margin always angular. Finally, the *arafuresis* group is characterized by a uniformly spiny carapace, abdominal terga that are deeply marked by at least one or two transverse furrows, by uropods spinulose on the dorsal surface, and by weakly carinate, finely granulate to spiny chelipeds with the outer margin always being angular (Table 2).

From currently described fossil species, *Metanephrops motunauensis* Jenkins, 1972 falls in the *arafuresis* group, whereas according to Tshudy *et al.* (2007), neither of the two Cretaceous fossil species, *M. jenkinsi* Feldmann, 1989 and *M. rossensis* Feldmann *et al.*, 1993, fits easily into any of the four groups. Additionally, the abovementioned specimen of *Metanephrops* sp. from the upper Eocene of Italy described on the basis of partially preserved chelipeds (Garassino *et al.*, 2003) cannot be assigned to any of the traditional groups. However, the arrangement of crushing teeth on the inner
Table 2. Overview of key morphological features for Metanephrops groups. Showing the attribution of fossil species of Metanephrops.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature / Group</th>
<th>binghami</th>
<th>japonicus</th>
<th>arafurensis</th>
<th>thomsoni</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carapace texture</td>
<td>smooth (J, R)</td>
<td>smooth(J, R)</td>
<td>spiny (M, S)</td>
<td>smooth (J, R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical spinules</td>
<td>yes (R)</td>
<td>no (J, S)</td>
<td>yes (R, M)</td>
<td>varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postcervical spines</td>
<td>yes (J, R)</td>
<td>no (S)</td>
<td>varies (M)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postantennal spines</td>
<td>yes (J, R)</td>
<td>no (S)</td>
<td>no (M, S)</td>
<td>no (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supracleavicular spine</td>
<td>yes (J)</td>
<td>no (R, S)</td>
<td>no (R, M, S)</td>
<td>no (R, S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terga sculptured</td>
<td>no (J,R)</td>
<td>highly</td>
<td>highly (M)</td>
<td>none-lillle (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scales facade</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes (6 of 7)</td>
<td>no (M, S)</td>
<td>no (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheliped outer mgn</td>
<td>flat/spiny (J, ?S)</td>
<td>flat/spiny (J, ?S)</td>
<td>angular / less spiny (R, M)</td>
<td>angular/ less spiny (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uropods spiny</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>spiny (M, S)</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

margin of the propodus of the large cheliped in the Italian fossil appears peculiar as the crushing teeth are limited only to the posterior part of the finger in Metanephrops. Thus, we have some reservations concerning the assignment of the Italian specimen to Metanephrops.

Metanephrops serendipitus sp. nov. has a spiny carapace and tailfan, and seems to be most closely aligned with the species from the arafurensis group, which includes Metanephrops arafurensis (De Man, 1905), Metanephrops neptunus (Bruce, 1965), and Metanephrops australiensis (Bruce, 1966), although it also shares some of the similarities with the representatives of the japonicus group (Table 2).

The major differences with the representatives of the arafurensis group are that the carapace has also areas that are less spinous (such as the lack of cervical spinules) and the unclear presence of carinae on the chelipeds, which could be attributed to the preservation of the fossil material. Metanephrops serendipitus sp. nov. differs from M. neptunus in possessing a single transverse groove on the abdominal terga, overall shape and decoration of carapace including the positions of spines and dorsal carinae, and long and slender, not spiny, chelipeds. However, Metanephrops serendipitus sp. nov. differs from M. australiensis in possessing a single transverse groove on the abdominal terga, overall shape and decoration of carapace including the positions of spines and dorsal carinae, and long and slender, not spiny, chelipeds. However, Metanephrops serendipitus sp. nov. differs from M. australiensis in possessing a single transverse groove on the abdominal terga, overall shape and decoration of carapace including the positions of spines and dorsal carinae, and long and slender, not spiny, chelipeds.
Also, this new species shows some resemblance to the members of *japonicus* group in the positions and shape of the carinae of the branchiostegite, the ornamentation of the abdominal tergites, and the shape of chelipeds. In overall appearance, it most closely resembles *M. australiensis*, but it shares three spines on the postrostral carina with *M. neptunus* and the ornamentation of the terga with *M. araurensis*.

### 4.2. CALCAREOUS NANNOPLANKTON


Discoasters are very rare and presented by medium-sized (10-15µm) *Discoaster druggii* Bramlette and Wilcoxon 1967 and *Discoaster deflandreii* Bramlette and Riedel 1954.


### 5. Palaeobiogeography and depositional environment

Previously, the genus *Metanephrops* was thought to have originated in the Indo-West Pacific and arrived in the Atlantic through the Tethys Sea before or during the Lower Miocene (Jenkins, 1972; Chan, 1997). Latest phylogenetic analyses, however, suggested that these lobsters have a Gondwana Weddell origin with dispersal routes primarily along continental shelves rather than across open seas (Chan et al., 2009). Amongst the extant members of the genus, the *binghami* group, *M. challenger* and *M. neptunus* are thought to be more primitive (Chan et al., 2009). According to the Gondwana Weddell origin hypothesis, *Metanephrops* lobsters reached the Atlantic at their rather early, instead of late, stage of evolution, and only in the western side. The entering to the Mediterranean was by the dispersal from the northern Indian Ocean, before the connection between the Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean was closed (around mid-Miocene, Rögl, 1999). The discovery of a rather modern *Metanephrops*
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fossil in the Central Paratethys generally fits the Gondwana Weddell origin hypothesis. Moreover, the present fossil *M. serendipitus* sp. nov., is close to the more derived *M. australiensis/araurensis* and the *japonicus* group as indicated in Chan et al. (2009). The *japonicus* group occurs in the entire Indian Ocean while *M. australiensis* has a range to the eastern Indian Ocean. The occurrence of older *Metanephrops* fossils (earlier than Oligocene) in the Mediterranean would falsify the southern higher latitude origin hypothesis but support the Indo-West Pacific origin hypothesis.

Most recent *Metanephrops* species inhabit the deeper waters of the outer continental shelf and continental slope. Different species have been collected from depths between 50 and 1000 meters, but most commonly deeper than 150 meters (Tshudy, 2003; Chan et al., 2009). The two Late Cretaceous (Campanian) *Metanephrops* species are known from shallower, inner shelf sediments, and a migration from shelf depths to deeper depths has been proposed and documented for several nephropid lobster taxa (Beurlen, 1939; Mertin, 1941; Glaessner, 1969; Feldmann and Tshudy, 1989; Tshudy and Sorhannus, 2000; Tshudy, 2003).

The investigated calcareous nannofossil assemblage (Figure 8, 1-44) with high percentage of small reticulofenestrids (*Reticulofenestra minuta*, *R. minutula* and *R. haqi*) accompanied by *C. pelagicus* and helicoliths points to the nearshore, a nutrient rich (eutrophic) marine environment (Okada and McIntyre 1979; Haq, 1980; Winter et al. 1994; Ćorić and Rögl 2004). Rare occurrences of discoasterids (*D. deflandrei*, *D. druggii*), which are characteristic for oligotrophic oceanic water (Aubry 1992; Lohmann and Carlson 1981; Young 1998) confirm this interpretation of the palaeoenvironment. Deep sedimentation basins in close proximity to nearshore environments are typical of the Mura Depression of Central Paratethys due to the prevailing extension tectonics in lower/middle Miocene (Bartol, 2009).

The associated crustacean fauna includes rare
specimens of callianassid chelipeds and the crab *Stylioplax exigua* (Glaessner, 1928) (Figure 7H), which has so far been exclusively found in fine grained clastic rocks of deep-water facies (Hyžný and Schloegl 2011; Gašparič and Hyžný, 2015; Gašparič and Halasova, 2015). The specimen of *Metanephrops serendipitus* sp. nov. was recovered from strata containing a mass accumulation of indeterminable brittle stars (Figure 7A-B), which corresponds to the *Metanephrops sagamiensis* habitat at 426 meters depth (Figure 9) as recorded by the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC, 1999) in the Suruga Bay off the eastern coast of Japan.

From associated molluscs, we identified the bivalves *Solemya doederleini* Mayer, 1861 (Figure 7D), *Limaria labani* (Meznerics, 1936) (Figure 7E-F), *Lentipesten demudatus* (Reuss, 1867), and *Macoma elliptica* (Brocchi, 1814), which have been all previously described from the deep-water facies of Cerová-Lieskové in the Slovak Republic part of the Central Paratethys (Harzhauser et al., 2011).

Ecologically significant is the presence of the scaphopod *Gadilina taurogracilis* Sacco, 1897 (Figure 7B-C). Similar to the Pliocene species *Gadilina triqueta* (Brocchi, 1814), it is considered to be bathyal and indicative for unstable deep marine environments with high sedimentation rates (Ceregato et al., 2007; Harzhauser et al., 2011).

The associated fauna of the sediments at Meljski hrib locality indicates an outer shelf to slope environment with water depth of at least 150 meters but likely exceeding 250 meters.
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