

## Assessing the impact of climate change on water resources in a semi-arid area in central Mexico using a SWAT model

### Monitoreo del impacto del cambio climático en los recursos hídricos en un área semi-árida de México central mediante un modelo SWAT

Chao **Deng**<sup>1</sup>, Bruno **Pisani**<sup>2</sup>, Horacio **Hernández**<sup>1</sup>, Yanmei **Li**<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Engineering Division, Campus of Guanajuato, University of Guanajuato, Lascrain de Retana, 5, Center, 36000, Guanajuato, Mexico.

<sup>2</sup> Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros de Caminos, Canales y Puertos, University of A Coruña, Rúa da Maestranza 9, 15001, La Coruña, Spain.

\* Corresponding author: (Y. Li) [yanmeili@ugto.mx](mailto:yanmeili@ugto.mx)

#### How to cite this article:

Deng, C., Pisani, B., Hernández, H., Li, Y., 2020, Assessing the impact of climate change on water resources in a semi-arid area in central Mexico using a SWAT model: Boletín de la Sociedad Geológica Mexicana, 72 (2), A150819. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18268/BSGM2020v72n2a150819>

Manuscript received: January 30, 2019  
Corrected manuscript received: June 25, 2019  
Manuscript accepted: August 15, 2019

Peer Reviewing under the responsibility of Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-SA (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>)

## ABSTRACT

A Soil and Water Assessment Tool model (SWAT) was developed for the Cuenca Independencia, a 6992 km<sup>2</sup> watershed with a significant seasonal climate situated in the semi-arid highlands of Guanajuato State in central Mexico. This area is dominated by agricultural land cover (43.32 %), followed by pasture (25.7 %). Good to very good results for the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ , 0.66 ~ 0.89) and the Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE, 0.65 ~ 0.88) were obtained during both the calibration and the validation periods, while the percent bias (PBIAS) was not so good as the former indicators, but with satisfactory to very good results. According to the projections of seven general circulation models (GCM) under Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) for climate change prediction, the Cuenca Independencia may experience more precipitation and higher temperature under emission scenarios of Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5 and RCP 8.5 for the mid-century period (2030 – 2059), as well as the end of this century (2070 – 2099), compared to the baseline condition (1970 – 1999). Potential evapotranspiration (PET) and real evapotranspiration (ET) are predicted to increase continuously. Both mean annual surface runoff and aquifer recharge are predicted to increase more rapidly under the condition of scenario RCP 8.5 than RCP 4.5. However, increasing demands of agricultural irrigation are expected to consume the larger water volume seeping into the groundwater system, making aquifer recovery unlikely through natural processes under the current water resources management framework.

**Keywords:** Soil and Water Assessment Tool model (SWAT), Cuenca Independencia, Semi-arid, Climate change, RCP scenario.

## RESUMEN

Se desarrolló un modelo de la Herramienta de Evaluación de Suelos y Aguas (SWAT) para la Cuenca de la Independencia, una cuenca de alrededor de 6992.00 km<sup>2</sup> con un clima estacional importante en el altiplano semiárido del Estado de Guanajuato, en el centro de México. Esta área está dominada por la cubierta de suelo agrícola (43.32 %), seguida por la de pastizales (25.70 %). Se obtuvieron resultados buenos a muy buenos con un coeficiente de determinación ( $R^2$ , 0.66 ~ 0.89) y una Eficiencia de Nash-Sutcliffe (NSE, 0.65 ~ 0.88) durante el periodo de calibración y la duración de validación, mientras que el porcentaje de bias (PBIAS) no fue tan bueno como los indicadores anteriores y con resultados de nivel aceptable a muy buenos. De acuerdo con las proyecciones de siete modelos de circulación general (MCG) y el proyecto de intercomparación de modelos acoplados Fase 5 (CMIP 5) para la predicción del cambio climático, la Cuenca de la Independencia podría experimentar mayores precipitaciones y temperaturas bajo los escenarios de emisión de los Caminos de Concentración Representativa (RCP) 4.5 y RCP 8.5 para mediados de siglo 2030 – 2059 y para finales de este siglo 2070 – 2090 comparados con la condición de base (1970 – 1999). Se prevé que la evapotranspiración potencial (PET) y la evapotranspiración real (ET) aumenten continuamente. Las predicciones también indican que tanto la escorrentía superficial media anual como la recarga de acuíferos aumentarán más rápidamente en la condición del escenario RCP 8.5 que en la del RCP 4.5. Sin embargo, se espera que las crecientes demandas de riego agrícola consuman el aumento del volumen de agua que se infiltre al sistema de aguas subterráneas, lo que hará que la recuperación de los acuíferos sea poco probable por procesos naturales en el marco actual de la gestión de los recursos hídricos.

**Palabras clave:** Modelo de Herramienta de Evaluación de Suelos y Aguas (SWAT), Cuenca de la Independencia, Semiárido, Cambio climático, escenario Vía de concentración representativa (RCP).

## 1. Introduction

Water resources management and planning around the world have become a challenging task due to uncertainties in the impacts of climate change on regions suffering from water scarcity (Aeschbach-Hertig and Gleeson, 2012; Molina-Navarro *et al.*, 2016). In arid and semiarid zones that face high inter-annual rainfall variability and freshwater scarcity, which are commonly associated with extreme events such as floods and droughts, sustainable water use becomes critical. Climate change can be caused by many natural and anthropogenic processes (NRC, 2010). Human influence on the climate system has been proven to be dominated by recent anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases which have peaked at their highest levels in the last 800 ky (Core Writing Team IPCC, 2014). Several studies have focused on the potential impacts of climate change on water resources (Herrera-Pantoja and Hiscock, 2015; Molina-Navarro *et al.*, 2016), including groundwater resources (Candela *et al.*, 2012; Lemieux *et al.*, 2015; Samper *et al.*, 2015).

The watershed Cuenca Independencia is situated in northern Guanajuato State with an area of 6992 km<sup>2</sup>. Groundwater scarcity has been created by over-extraction to meet the increasing demands from agricultural irrigation (CEAG, 2014). Since the 1970s, more than 1000 wells have been drilled within the Cuenca Independencia and the annual groundwater extraction volume now expanded by as much as 4 times of that at the end of 20th century (Navarro De León, 2006), resulting in water table drawdown at annual rates of 1–3 m during the last 20 years (CEAG, 2014). The semi-arid climate is dominated by strongly seasonal rainfall between May and October with mostly afternoon thunderstorms with annual precipitation of about 600 mm (Mahlknecht *et al.*, 2004). With the impact of El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), the recorded variability in extreme precipitation events, including both heavy rainfall and droughts, was connected to both ENSO (Ropelewski and Halpert, 1986) and the

Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) (Curtis, 2008; Barrett and Esquivel, 2013).

In Mexico's Central Highland, declining rainfall, increasing temperature, decreasing lake levels and rising population have been the norm during the past decades (Orozco-Ramírez and Astier, 2017). Annual maximum temperature trends have been investigated, and it has a significant increase in most of the ten Mexican watersheds, including Laja and Lerma-Salamanca (Mateos *et al.*, 2016). The daily temperature range exhibits an increasing trend, implying an increase in temperature extremes. Land-use and land-cover changes could be the main drivers of climate change in Mexico's Central Highland (Mateos *et al.*, 2016). However, although land use change may influence the hydrological regime in a more identifiable way, in some sub-basins, the future climate change will tend to affect the hydrological regimes much more prominently than land use changes (Zhang *et al.*, 2016). According to the report of IPCC (2014), the average surface temperature and precipitation were predicted to increase 3–4 °C and decrease approximately 10 mm, respectively, during the future period of 2081–2100 compared to the current period. Projected climate change scenarios predict that increasing temperature and declining annual precipitation would result in a reduction of up to 9 % in groundwater recharge and runoff by the end of this century in Queretaro, a neighboring state of Guanajuato, with likely more severe and frequent dry and wet events (Herrera-Pantoja and Hiscock, 2015). In the north part of the Lerma-Chapala basin (mainly located in the north of Guanajuato State), surface runoff was predicted to decrease by up to 29 % and is likely to have more frequent droughts by the end of the century (Acosta and Martínez, 2014).

The Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model is one of the most widely used semi-distributed hydrological models and has been successfully applied to simulate seasonal water and pollutant exports from watersheds in mountains, plains, and coastal areas of the USA and other countries around the world, across a range of spatial scales


(Nie *et al.*, 2012; Heo *et al.*, 2015; Maier and Dietrich, 2016; Marek *et al.*, 2016). Some sub-basins in Mexico have been studied, such as the upper San Pedro watershed (Nie *et al.*, 2012), Guadalupe River basin (Molina-Navarro *et al.*, 2016), lake Chapala (Bautista-Avalos *et al.*, 2014), southwestern Mexico City (Jujnovsky *et al.*, 2012), and even in the Cuenca Independencia (Torres-Benites *et al.*, 2005). However, the last study presented the annual simulation with SWAT for the Cuenca Independencia and didn't report detailed information about the seasonal nor spatial distribution of water resources in this area. For a detailed hydrogeological and reactive transport model, we need detailed spatial and temporal distribution of recharge to the aquifer of the area. Therefore, more work is needed to evaluate the water budgets in shorter time intervals and assess the effects of potential future climate change on water resources in the Cuenca Independencia. Furthermore, analyzing future water resources is fundamental for the successful administration of water supplies.

## 2. Methods and materials

### 2.1. STUDY AREA

The Cuenca Independencia is located in the central Mexico Highlands with a drainage area of 6992 km<sup>2</sup> based on the digital elevation model (DEM) provided by National Institute of Statistics and Geography (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía, INEGI, Mexico, 2019). Terrain elevation ranges from 1808 to 2990 m.a.s.l. (meters above sea level) with an average value of 2120 m.a.s.l. This basin includes several main municipalities such as San Miguel de Allende, Dolores Hidalgo, San Felipe, San Diego de la Unión, San Luis de la Paz, Dr. Mora, and San José Iturbide (Figure 1).

Overall, the climate of the Cuenca Independencia is semiarid and the rainfall is not evenly distributed over time nor space. Typically, three climate regimes predominate in this research area: (1) in the south and southwest of the Sierra de



**Figure 1** Study area in central-north Guanajuato State and mean annual recharge rates for sub-basins. PD: Puente Dolores, CS: Cinco Señores, P: Pañuelitas, IA: Ignacio Allende.

Table 1. Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) models and the performed centers.

Model	Center	Country
BCC-CSM1.1	Beijing Climate Center	China
CanESM2	Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis	Canada
CCSM4	National Center for Atmospheric Research	USA
CNRM-CM5	Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques, Centre Européen de Recherche et de Formation Avancée en Calcul Scientifique	France
CSIRO-MK3.6.0	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization/Queensland Climate Change Centre of Excellence	Australia
GFDL-CM3	Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory	USA
MRI-CGCM3	Meteorological Research Institute	Japan

Guanajuato a warm climate prevails with high mean annual precipitation of approximately 800 mm near the mountainous areas; (2) the Sierra de Guanajuato (west of the basin) and Sierra de San José Iturbide (east of the basin) are sub-humid; and (3) in the lower parts of the north and east of Cuenca Independencia the climate is dry. Summertime precipitation is largely generated through interactions between the varied topography and atmospheric circulation cells associated with the North American Monsoon: humid air coming from the Pacific Ocean, as well as the irregular passage of tropical cyclone remnants (Douglas *et al.*, 1993). The annual mean temperature is 16 °C, ranging from 9 to 25 °C. Potential evapotranspiration was estimated to be more than 1500 mm/y (Navarro De León *et al.*, 2005; Barrett and Esquivel Longoria, 2013).

The study area is an intermountain sedimentary basin with complex geology (Aranda-Gómez *et al.*, 2003), filled by Paleogene and Neogene to Quaternary sediments covered by phaeozems and vertisols, while the mountain areas are composed of Paleogene and Neogene felsic volcanic rocks or Cretaceous and Jurassic rocks. The recent alluvial deposits occupy a great superficial extension and they constitute the cover of vegetal soil in all the valleys with reducing thickness. The Laja River,

originating in the sierras of Guanajuato and San Felipe, is the main stream in the basin. It flows SE towards the San Miguel de Allende reservoir, passing through Dolores Hidalgo as well as San Miguel de Allende. The main stem is 100 km in length while the branches are approximately 80 km in length. Two important tributaries also originate from the east Guanajuato sierra towards the east and flow to the dams built at the outlets before flowing into the Laja River. The sediments of the Laja River are constituted by granules with small sizes, indicating high permeability of the Laja River, which has been verified by a field Vertical Electrical Sampling test (Li *et al.*, 2016).

## 2.2 DESCRIPTION OF SWAT MODEL

The Soil and Water Assessment Tool (SWAT) model is a continuous, long-term, physically based semi-distributed model, and it is developed to evaluate the impacts of climate and land management on hydrological processes, sediment loading, and pollution transport in watersheds (Arnold *et al.*, 1998). In the SWAT model, a watershed is divided into sub-basins, which are further partitioned into a series of hydrological response units (HRUs). HRUs are uniform units that share unique combinations of soil and land use. Hydrological

components, sediment yield, and nutrient cycles are simulated for each HRU and then aggregated for the sub-basins. The hydrological cycle simulated in SWAT is based on the water balance equation:

$$SW_t = SW_0 + \sum_{i=1}^t (R_{day} - Q_{surf} - E_a - w_{seep} - Q_{gw}) \quad (1)$$

where,  $SW_t$  and  $SW_0$  are the final and initial soil water content on day  $i$  (mm  $H_2O$ ),  $t$  the time steps on day  $i$ ,  $R_{day}$  is the rainfall that reaches the soil surface on day  $i$  (mm),  $Q_{surf}$  is the surface runoff on day  $i$  (mm),  $E_a$  is the evapotranspiration on day  $i$  (mm),  $w_{seep}$  is the interflow on day  $i$  (mm), and  $Q_{gw}$  is the baseflow on day  $i$  (mm) (Neitsch *et al.*, 2011). SWAT uses the Natural Resources Soil Conservation Service curve number (NRSCS-CN) and the Hargreaves method to estimate runoff and evapotranspiration respectively. The Hargreaves method was originally derived from eight years of cool season Alta fescue grass lysimeter data from Davis, California and the form used in SWAT was published in 1985 (Hargreaves and Samani, 1985):

$$\lambda E_0 = 0.0023 \cdot H_0 \cdot (T_{mx} - T_{mn})^{0.5} \cdot (T_{av} + 17.8) \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the latent heat of vaporization (MJ/kg),  $E_0$  is the potential evapotranspiration (mm/d),  $H_0$  is the extraterrestrial radiation [MJ/(m<sup>2</sup>d)],  $T_{mx}$  is the maximum air temperature for a given day (°C),  $T_{mn}$  is the minimum air temperature for a given day (°C), and  $T_{av}$  is the mean air temperature for a given day (°C).

### 2.3. INPUT DATA FOR SWAT

In this study, the ArcGIS interface for SWAT, ArcSWAT (version 2012), was used for catchment modeling. The DEM data were in a resolution of 15 × 15 m, shown in Figure 1. The soil map was downloaded from Harmonized World Soil Database version 1.2 with a resolution of 1 km (Fischer *et al.*, 2008). Three kinds of soil were found in this study area, Haplic Phaeozems, Petric Calcisols (CLp) and Haplic Calcisols, where

Haplic Phaeozems (53.4 %) and CLp (43.4 %) are the most abundant. Soil parameters were transformed from the FAO-90 into USDA standards and soil properties required by SWAT model were calculated using the software SPAW (2019) (Soil-Plant-Air-Water Field & Pond Hydrology, version 6.02.75, USDA, <https://data.nal.usda.gov/dataset/soil-plant-atmosphere-water-field-pond-hydrology>) with soil equations defined by Saxton and Rawls (2006). A land use coverage map was provided by Institute of Ecology of the State of Guanajuato (Instituto de Ecología del Estado de Guanajuato, 2014), with temporary agriculture, pasture, and irrigated agriculture occupying 26.15 %, 25.70 %, and 17.17 %, respectively. The slope was divided into 2 classes: 0 – 20 % and >20 %.

Daily meteorological data were obtained from National Meteorological Service (Servicio Meteorológico Nacional, SMN, 2019), Mexico with precipitation,  $T_{mx}$ , and  $T_{mn}$ . Hydrological gauging data from National Commission of Water (Comisión Nacional del agua, CONAGUA, 2018), with 2 stream-gauging stations—Puente Dolores and Cinco Señores—and 2 reservoir-storage monitoring stations—Pañuelitas and Ignacio Allende (shown in Figure 1)—were found with different periods. As to reservoirs, information was obtained from Seguí (2003) and CONAGUA (2015a, 2015b, 2015c). Due to the lack of sufficient data on reservoir operations, the reservoir data were set up with average annual release rates calculated automatically by the SWAT model. Dominant crops in this area are corn, wheat, beans, and alfalfa, which consumed around 90 % of the 412 hm<sup>3</sup> groundwater annual volume in the 1970s according to a Ministry of Agriculture and Hydraulic Resources (Secretaría de Agricultura y Recursos Hidráulicos, SARH) report (CONAGUA, 2015a). Since it is hard to identify all the crops in the study area and alfalfa is the most common crop in the area, alfalfa is used as the irrigated crop to simulate the annual groundwater extraction for irrigation. Auto fertilization and auto irrigation were applied to this model. Irrigation water resources are directly from reservoirs nearby and wells with a water depth of

around 100 m within its sub-basin. According to the rainfall pattern, there is less precipitation in the plains than in the mountainous. In the base model, the initial precipitation lapse rate (mean annual precipitation) was set to be 300 mm H<sub>2</sub>O/km for the selected mountainous sub-basins in the west and 150 mm H<sub>2</sub>O/km in the east and the distribution in sub-basins is based on the statistical analysis of rainfall lapse rate and the gauged outlet.

To assess the likely impact of climate change on the Cuenca Independencia, daily data were downloaded from NASA Earth Exchange Global Daily Downscaled Projections (NEX-GDDP) dataset (2019), which is comprised of downscaled climate scenarios with spatial resolution of 0.25 degrees for the globe that are derived from the general circulation models (GCM) runs conducted using Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5) and with two greenhouse gas emissions scenarios known as Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP), *i.e.* RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5. Seven GCMs, listed in Table 1, were selected with downscaled projections for RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 from the 21 models and scenarios as to their representative characters. Precipitation,  $T_{mx}$ , and  $T_{mn}$  series in daily intervals have been extracted and processed for three 30-year periods: 1970–1999, 2030–2059, and 2070–2099, representing the historical baseline scenario, the mid-century scenario, and the end-of-this-century scenario, respectively. For the missing precipitation in the period of 2096–2099 for Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory – Climate Model Phase 3 (GFDL-CM3) in scenario RCP 4.5, the simulation period was replaced by 2070–2095 covering 26 years.

**2.4. MODEL SETUP, CALIBRATION, VALIDATION, AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

The geographic information system interface—ArcSWAT 2012—was used to set the parameters in the Cuenca Independencia model. The area was delineated into 36 sub-basins with manually defined outlets, and further delineated into 791

HRUs. Parameters of SWAT models were varied at different spatial levels: HRUs, sub-basins, and basin. Since sub-catchments may have different basin characteristics, assigning the same basin parameter values to the whole basin may limit the calibration process. To allow each sub-catchment to have its specific basin parameter values to avoid calibration-process limiting, sub-basins were calibrated individually. Calibration and uncertainty analyses were performed by SWAT Calibration and Uncertainty Programs (SWAT-CUP) Version 5.5.6 (EAWAG, 2015) with the assembled module semi-automated Sequential Uncertainty Fitting (SUFI2, 2019) algorithm. SUFI2 uses a global search procedure through Latin Hypercube sampling. And it estimated optimal parameter ranges and global sensitivity analyses for simulating the outputs for consecutive iterations. SUFI2 varies the model parameters with a pre-determined range until the model output best matches the observed data. This approach has the advantage of fewer model runs to achieve a satisfactory solution compared to other uncertainty analysis techniques, which makes it an attractive method, as the direct measurement of model parameters describing physical systems is often time-consuming, expensive, and potentially error ridden (Ficklin *et al.*, 2013). The SUFI2 method provided more reasonable and balanced predictive results than the other two methods (GLUE and ParaSol) assembled in the SWAT-CUP module (Wu and Chen, 2015).

Model performance can also be evaluated by different statistical criteria to compare simulated

**Table 2. General performance ratings for statistical methods. NSE: Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency; PBIAS: percent bias; R2: coefficient of determination.**

Performance rating	NSE	PBIAS %	R <sup>2</sup>
Very good	>0.75	< ±10	>0.75
Good	0.65 ~ 0.75	±10 ~ ±15	0.65 ~ 0.75
Satisfactory	0.50 ~ 0.65	±15 ~ ±25	0.50 ~ 0.65
Unsatisfactory	≤ 0.50	≥ ±25	≤ 0.50

**Table 3. Calibration and validation statistics at the outputs of Cuenca Independencia. NSE: Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency; PBIAS: percent bias; R2: coefficient of determination.**

Outlet	Simulation Period	NSE	R2	PBIAS%
Puente Dolores	Calibration for 1975-1993	0.69	0.72	-7.8
	Validation for 2000-2011	0.65	0.66	-18.6
Pañuelitas	Calibration for 1963-1975	0.84	0.84	-3.8
	Validation for 1980-1990	0.73	0.74	-16.2
Cinco Señores	Calibration for 1963-1985	0.8	0.81	-2.5
	Validation for 1986-1993	0.78	0.78	-9.1
Ignacio Allende	Calibration for 1969-1990	0.88	0.89	-19.4
	Validation for 1991-1999	0.78	0.82	-20.9

and measured data. The coefficient of determination ( $R_2$ ) and Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency ( $NSE$ ) objective functions are the two most commonly reported approaches in the literature (*e.g.* Moriasi *et al.*, 2007; Arnold *et al.*, 2012;).  $R_2$  indicates the strength of the linear relationship between the observed and simulated values, ranging from 0 to 1 with 1 indicating a perfect match.  $NSE$  is a normalized statistic that determines the relative magnitude of the residual variance (“noise”) compared to the measured data variance (“information”) (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970).  $NSE$  indicates how well the plot of observed versus simulated data fits the 1:1 line. It ranges between  $-\infty$  and 1, with  $NSE = 1$  being the optimal value. The model performance is considered satisfactory when  $R_2$  and  $NSE$  are greater than 0.5 for streamflow (Moriasi *et al.*, 2007). Percent bias (PBIAS), underestimating the average tendency of the calibrated data to be larger or smaller than the observed data, is used as an indicator of model performance (Gupta *et al.*, 1999). The optimal value is zero for accurate model prediction, where low absolute values indicate better simulations. At monthly time steps, a PBIAS less than 25 % for stream flow after calibration is considered satisfactory (Moriasi *et al.*, 2007). General information about assessment criteria is listed in Table 2 (Moriasi *et al.*, 2007; Rocha *et al.*, 2015). And the statistical results of the calibrated model are listed in Table 3.

A sensitivity analysis for the 15 hydrological parameters listed in Table 4, was performed following the whole calibration period for 1000 simulations using the global sensitivity analysis by SWAT-CUP. Parameter sensitivities are determined by calculating the t-stat and the p-value. In this analysis, the larger the absolute value of t-stat and the smaller the p-value, the more sensitive the parameter.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. CALIBRATION AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

A warm-up period of 2 years was executed for the model prior simulation. During model calibration, hydrological parameters were varied within referenced ranges to match the simulated and observed streamflows (Shrestha *et al.*, 2016; Maliehe and Mulungu, 2017). The comparison between the best simulated and observed monthly streamflows for different periods of calibration and validation for these hydrologic stations is shown in Figure 2, and the statistical results are listed in Table 3. Generally, a good match can be seen between the simulated and observed values according to the reference criteria (Table 2). The calibrated parameter values are listed in Table 4.

Table 4. List of parameters used for model calibration and sensitivity analysis by Sequential Uncertainty Fitting (SUFI2) in SWAT Calibration and Uncertainty Programs (SWAT-CUP).

No.	Parameter	Min_value	Max_value	Fitted value	t-stat	p-value
1	Curve number for moisture condition II, R_CN2.mgt	-0.5	0	-0.43 ~ -0.03	5.25	0
2	Soil available water capacity, R_SOL_AWC(..).sol	-0.5	0.5	-0.05 ~ 0.46	3.17	0
3	Saturated hydraulic conductivity for soil layers, R_SOL_K(..).sol	-0.5	0.5	-0.31 ~ 0.40	3.57	0
4	Depth from soil surface to bottom of layer, R_SOL_Z(..).sol	-0.5	0.5	-0.46 ~ 0.15	2.34	0.02
5	Manning's n value for the main channel, R_CH_N2.rte	-0.2	1	-0.16 ~ 0.97	-0.12	0.91
6	Effective hydraulic conductivity in main channel, V_CH_K2.rte	0	30	6.23 ~ 15.71	-1.45	0.15
7	Baseflow alpha factor, V_ALPHA_BF.gw	0.2	0.95	0.2 ~ 0.79	-0.06	0.95
8	Ground water delay time, V_GW_DELAY.gw	2	60	4 ~ 27	0.14	0.89
9	Threshold water depth in the shallow aquifer for return flow, V_GWQMN.gw	200	2000	360 ~ 802	2.62	0.01
10	Groundwater 'revap' coefficient, V_GW_REVAP.gw	0.02	0.2	0.02 ~ 0.18	-1.67	0.1
11	Deep aquifer percolation coefficient, R_RCHRG_DP.gw	-0.5	0.5	-0.44 ~ 0.05	-0.14	0.89
12	Threshold depth of Water in the shallow aquifer for 'revap' or percolation to the deep aquifer to occur, V_REVAPMN.gw	100	2000	243 ~ 1629	-0.36	0.72
13	Soil evaporation compensation factor, R_ESCO.hru	-0.5	0.5	-0.49 ~ 0.26	-1	0.32
14	Plant uptake compensation factor, R_EPCO.hru	-0.3	0	-0.26 ~ -0.17	-0.54	0.59
15	Precipitation lapse rate, R_PLAPS.sub	-0.5	0.5	-0.12 ~ 0.15	-0.56	0.58

\*: R\_ represents the existing parameter value is multiplied by (1 +  $\alpha$  given value) while V\_ means the parameter is replaced by a given value.

For the calibration of the northern part of the Cuenca Independencia, the evaluation of the SWAT model for monthly time steps is good. For the calibration period, the monthly  $NSE$ ,  $R^2$ , and PBIAS at the outlet of Puente Dolores were 0.69, 0.72, and  $-7.80\%$ , respectively. For the validation period, the values were 0.65, 0.66, and  $-18.6\%$ , respectively. Very good level reproductions are obtained in the sub-catchment Cinco Señores, where the  $NSE$  values are greater than 0.75 for the calibration and validation periods. Furthermore, the PBIAS values are very good. In the neighboring sub-catchment, Pañuelitas, the match of the model is in very good level (Table 2) for  $NSE$ ,  $R^2$ , and PBIAS for the calibration period, while the performance degrades in the validation period, especially PBIAS. After the calibration of the

western and northern parts of the Cuenca Independencia, the eastern and southern parts were calibrated as a whole by the controlling outlet at Ignacio Allende. Very good results (Table 3) of  $NSE$  and  $R^2$  were obtained for calibration and validation periods, but PBIAS criteria were only acceptable at a level of around  $-20.00\%$ .

As seen from the outlets at Cinco Señores and Ignacio Allende, the SWAT model performed better in the southern part of the Cuenca Independencia where topographic differences are smaller. Besides, the discrete blanks in precipitation series could lead to the inaccurate estimation of runoff by SWAT. Methods are needed to fill the blanks by interpolation between annual statistics obtained from neighboring weather stations. Precipitation in rainy seasons tends to come from

**Table 5. Mean annual statistics of SWAT outputs for the period 1970-2009. ETM: Average temperature; PET: Potential Evapotranspiration; ET: Evapotranspiration; GW: Groundwater.**

Precipitation mm	ETM °C	PET mm	ET mm	Runoff mm	Recharge mm	Extraction mm
495.1	17.2	1670	471.3	33.38	39.08	81.76

afternoon thunderstorms. SWAT has difficulty modeling the effects of these high intensity precipitation events that are limited in duration and area. In spite of this shortcoming, SWAT produced reasonably accurate runoff estimations for the Cuenca Independencia.

A total of 15 potentially influential parameters were selected for optimization and sensitivity analyses (Table 4). They are mostly related to surface runoff and baseflow (Arnold *et al.*, 2000, 2012). Natural Resources Soil Conservation Service Curve Number (CN2) is well known to be an extremely sensitive parameter. Other parameters showing high sensitivity were saturated hydraulic conductivity for soil layers (SOL\_K), soil available water capacity (SOL\_AWC), the threshold water depth in the shallow aquifer for return flow (GWQMN), and the depth from soil surface to bottom of layer (SOL\_Z), which were followed by the groundwater “revap” coefficient (GW\_REVAP). The SOL\_K, despite being a soil parameter, has a connection with groundwater since it is an indicator for water moving through the soil layers, which will eventually reach the shallow aquifer below. The high sensitivity of SOL\_K and GWQMN reveals that the groundwater system may play a vital role in the hydrology of the Cuenca Independencia with seasonal climate and Quaternary aquifers. The p-value of SOL\_AWC, SOL\_Z and the soil evaporation compensation factor (ESCO), which goes along with the importance of evaporation, indicates that evapotranspiration plays an important role in this semi-arid area as well. A similar sensitivity analysis of results has been found in other semi-arid catchments (Mosbahi *et al.*, 2015; Solaymani and Gosain, 2015; Molina-Navarro *et al.*, 2016). The initial and calibrated values of the adjusted

parameters are given in Table 4. The baseflow alpha factor (ALPHA\_BF) varies from 0.20 to 0.79 in the Cuenca Independencia, indicating that the response to recharge is spatially uneven. According to the theoretical documentation of SWAT (Neitsch *et al.*, 2011), ALPHA\_BF values vary from 0.1 to 0.3 for land with slow response to recharge, and up to 0.9 ~ 1.0 for lands with a rapid response. Briefly, the ALPHA\_BF has an intermediate value in the northern part of the basin, and a low value in the catchment of Pañuelitas, while in the south and east parts of the Cuenca Independencia it has higher and similar values. This corresponds to the alluvial aquifers in this area. CH\_K2 is set with the default value of 0 in the model, which is recommended to be increased in semi-arid areas in Mexico for water loss from stream beds (Nie *et al.*, 2012; Molina-Navarro *et al.*, 2016). The calibrated CH\_K2 ranges from 6.23 to 15.71 mm/h, which corresponds to the gravel and sand bedded Laja River with good connection to the alluvial aquifer below (Li *et al.*, 2016). CN2 was reduced in the whole basin, with a reduction of less than 10% in the north area and around 43 % in the south. The GWQMN reducing from the north to the south means that it is easier for groundwater to flow back to reach the southern zone.

### 3.2. HISTORICAL WATER BUDGETS

After the calibration, the SWAT model was used to simulate the water pathways in the Cuenca Independencia for a 40-year historical period of 1970–2009 with updated parameters. The annual results of water pathways, as well as the average temperature, have been listed in Table 5. Precipitation is the main water source for the whole area with an average accumulated value of 495.1 ±

Table 6. Statistical variations of groundwater resources in the Cuenca Independencia in 2014 (hm<sup>3</sup>/y). CONAGUA: National Commission of Water of Mexico.

Aquifer	Recharge	Allowed extraction	Extracted	Reference
Alta del Río Laja	139.7	199	412	(CONAGUA, 2015a)
Laguna Seca-Dr. Mora	128.5	153.8	398	(CONAGUA, 2015b)
San Miguel de Allende	28.6	30.6	22.1	(CONAGUA, 2015c)
Total	296.8	383.4	832.1	

88.0 mm/y in this semi-arid area. The automatically extracted groundwater by the SWAT model supplements water input to satisfy the irrigation demand by commercial crops. Of this total volume of water that falls on the ground (rainfall and irrigation water from groundwater), about 5.8 % forms runoff and 6.8 % recharges the deep aquifer.

The annual potential evapotranspiration (PET) is estimated to be 1670 mm/y, which is higher than the 1280 mm/y calculated by the Blaney-Criddle method (Blaney, 1959). Limitations in water availability cause the Evapotranspiration (ET) to have a much lower value. Therefore, the actual ET is estimated at 473.10 mm/y, which is higher than the Coutagne method (449.00 mm/y), but lower than the Turc's method (518.00 mm/y) (Mahlknecht *et al.*, 2004).


Annual surface runoff is about 33.38 mm/y, which is similar to a previous study by CEASG (1999) with the same US NRSCS runoff curve numbers. The annual deep aquifer recharge rate fluctuates with the annual precipitation with a mean value of 39.08 mm/y in this study, which is 3.36 mm/y or 7.9 % lower than the CONAGUA reports as listed in Table 6 (about 296.80 hm<sup>3</sup>/y, *i.e.*, 42.44 mm/y). The recharge rate is higher in the western mountainous areas where the orographic effect causes more rain to fall (Figure 1). In the central plain zone and southern-eastern part, the high recharge rate could be attributed to agricultural irrigation activities where the main irrigating croplands are located. Besides, the high permeability of CLp soil would accelerate the water moving towards the alluvial shallow aquifer after rainfall events. As reported in a chloride mass

balance study by Mahlkecht *et al.* (2004), the recharge rate peaks in the western and southern mountainous areas with values over 100.00 mm/y while in the northern part it's less than 50.00 mm/y. In this study, the distribution of the recharge rate has a similar tendency as Mahlkecht *et al.* (2004) found, but our SWAT model suggests that higher recharge rates can be found in the central alluvial plain.

The annual extracted groundwater predicted by the SWAT model was on average 81.46 mm, which corresponds to 571.72 hm<sup>3</sup>/y. Although the actual groundwater extraction was estimated to be less than 300.00 hm<sup>3</sup>/y in the 1970s, in 1992 the estimated extracted volume of groundwater was 520.53 hm<sup>3</sup>. CONAGUA (2015b) estimated a total of 487.8 hm<sup>3</sup> for agricultural irrigation. The SWAT model over-estimated this by 6.7 %. The permitted groundwater extraction volume is approximately 383.4 hm<sup>3</sup>/y but the estimated case is about 832.10 hm<sup>3</sup>/y in 2014 (see Table 6). In consideration of the 90 % extracted groundwater consumed by agricultural irrigation, the current study underestimated groundwater extraction in this region by 23.8 %.

### 3.3. METEOROLOGICAL PROJECTIONS

Projected average monthly precipitation and mean temperature for the historical baseline (1970–1999) of seven GCMs is shown in Figure 3. The GCMs projected similar annual trends to the observed meteorological data but varied between months. A decrease in simulated precipitation was generated in the dry season, from November to April; and



**Figure 2** Comparison of monthly precipitation (Left) and average temperature (Right) between measured data and models for the period of 1970-1999. GCMs: general circulation models.


more computed rainfall was recorded during the hot rainy season, generally. The variation between different GCM projections demonstrated that climate predictions were not uniform in the direction and magnitude of changes for both future study periods (2030–2059 and 2070–2099) (see in Figure 4). The seven GCMs individually predicted similar precipitation variation tendencies, compared with their historical baselines, for the periods 2030–2059 and 2070–2099 under the RCP 4.5 emission scenario. Meanwhile, less rainfall is expected to occur by the end of this century when compared with the middle period of this century. Generally, the mean annual precipitation would increase by 3.6 % and 0.6 % for the periods 2030–2059 and 2070–2099, respectively, separately under the condition of the RCP 4.5 emission scenario. Under the RCP 8.5 emission scenario, precipitation was projected to increase by 3.8 % and 3.4 % individually for the future periods 2030–2059 and 2070–2099, respectively, when compared to the historical baseline. Monthly precipitation is expected to increase significantly in May, June, September, and October, and slightly in August and November, while declining in January and July for all these different emission scenarios and periods. Unlike the fluctuating projection for precipitation, the mean temperature was projected to increase in all the conditions by these seven GCMs. The mean annual temperature tends to increase

by 1.8 and 2.6 °C for the periods of 2030–2059 and 2070–2099, respectively, under RCP 4.5 emission scenario, while by 2.2 and 4.7 °C under RCP 8.5 emission scenario, separately.

### 3.4. HYDROLOGIC RESPONSE TO CLIMATE CHANGE

Notably the future projected precipitation varies with different emission scenarios, which is important for the water resources pathways in the Cuenca Independencia. Figure 5 illustrates the predicted relative changes of water budgets by SWAT for future climate change.

Average monthly PET showed increasing trends for all months under projections of climate change (shown in Figure 5(a)), especially for the RCP 8.5 emission scenario when compared to the baseline scenario. It is estimated that annual PET is increasing for all conditions, which can be attributed to the projected increasing temperature as shown previously. On average, annual PET would increase by 6.7 % and 9.9 % for the periods of 2030–2059 and 2070–2099, respectively, under the RCP 4.5 emission scenarios, while by 8.3% and 17.1%, respectively, under the condition of RCP 8.5 emission scenario. Real ET is not always increasing as the PET, but estimated to decrease in some GCMs' projections. In the case of the BCC-CSM 1.1 model, ET is estimated to be reduced under all conditions. ET tends to stay consistent




**Figure 3** Comparison of monthly precipitation (Left) and average temperature (Right) between measured data and models for the period of 1970-1999. GCMs: general circulation models.

with the variations of precipitation, which can be seen from the CSIRO-MK 3.6.0 and MRI-CGCM3 predictions. Under the condition of the RCP 4.5 emission scenario, ET tends to increase from 2030 to 2059 and to decrease from 2017 to 2099 for most models. While under the condition of the RCP 8.5 emission scenario, the estimated ET of all the GCMs has a similar tendency in both periods, either to increase or to decline. Besides, the tendency is more noticeable between 2070 and 2099. Statistically, real ET tends to increase by 1.6 % and 1.3 % between 2030 and 2059 under the emission scenarios of RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5, respectively. There will be a slight reduction of ET (-0.4 %) between 2070 and 2099 under the RCP 4.5 emission scenario and an increment of 2.1 % will occur under the emission scenario of RCP 8.5. The reason is that, in the RCP 4.5 emission scenario, there is less CO<sub>2</sub> emission in the period 2079–2099.


As generated from precipitation, surface runoff has a similar tendency of precipitation events in most GCMs such as BCC-CSM 1.1, CCSM4, CSIRO-MK 3.6.0, GFDL-CM3, and MRI-CGCM 3. The variations of surface runoff

are proportionally higher in these models when compared with the corresponding variations of precipitation for a shorter but more intense rainfall pattern. In general, surface runoff is estimated to increase by 10.5 % and 9.2 % in the mid-century and at the end of this century, respectively, under the RCP 4.5 emission scenario. A higher increase of surface runoff is predicted under the RCP 8.5 emission scenario with values of 11.8 % and 20.2 % for those two periods, respectively. Deep aquifer recharge has similar variations to runoff. As predicted by BCC-CSM 1.1, CSIRO-MK 3.6.0, and MRI-CGCM 3, the recharge will increase by 7.3 % – 61.5 % under conditions of future climate change, while it is simulated with a reduction of 6.3 % – 54.5 % by CanESM 2 and GFDL-CM3. The average mean annual recharge is simulated to increase by 6.0 % and 4.8 % in the periods of 2030 – 2059 and 2070 – 2099, respectively, under the RCP 4.5 emission scenario, while increasing by higher variations of 8.9 % and 14.1 % in the same periods, respectively, under the RCP 8.5 emission scenario.

However, significant increasing of groundwater demands for agricultural irrigation is predicted



**Figure 4** Average annual changes in precipitation (two of the above) and mean temperature (two of the below) from baseline for (2030–2059) (two of the left) and (2070–2099) (two of the right). RCP: Representative Concentration Pathway; BCC-CSM1.1: Beijing Climate Center Climate System Model, Version 1.1; CCSM4: Community Climate System Model Version 4; CSIRO-MK3.6.0: Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation Global Climate Model Mk 3.6.0; MRI-CGCM3: Meteorological Research Institute Community Climate System Model, version 3; CanESM2: Canadian Centre Earth System Model version 2; CNRM-CM5: French National Center for Meteorological Research Climate Model version 5; GFDL-CM3: Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory Climate Model version 3.



**Figure 5** Average annual variations % from baseline in (a) PET, (b) ET, (c) surface runoff, (d) recharge, (e) groundwater extraction, and (f) aquifer deficit from baseline for (i) (2030–2059), and (ii) (2070–2099) in RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 climate change scenarios given by seven general circulation models (GCM) (see Figure 4 for the definition).

by these GCMs except for the conditions of CSIRO-MK 3.6.0 in the mid-century, which projected 14.5 % and 17.3 % increments of precipitation under emission scenarios of RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5, respectively, but just 6 % increasing of PET. By the end of this century, there will be sharp growing demands of groundwater extraction under the current agricultural management framework, especially under the condition of the RCP 8.5 emission scenario. Overall, mean annual groundwater extraction, compared with the baseline, has been predicted to increase by 2.3 % and 4.3 % in the periods of 2030–2059 and 2070–2099 under emission scenarios of RCP 4.5, and 8.4 %, and 24.2 % in the two period under RCP 8.5, respectively. By examining the gaps between the annual groundwater extraction and deep aquifer recharge (*i.e.*, aquifer deficit), there is no chance for the recovery of the overexploited deep aquifer under the current status of water resources management. Responding to the potential future climate change, the drawdown in the deep aquifer is accelerating at the end of this century.

#### 4. Conclusions

In this manuscript, a SWAT model was developed for the Cuenca Independencia from the northern-central of the Mexico Highland, Guanajuato State, where 36 sub-basins were calibrated individually with two reservoir storage files and calibrated with the aid of SUFI-2 algorithm assembled in SWAT-CUP. Good to very-good results were obtained during both the calibration and the validation periods, while the PBIAS was not at the level of the former indicators but with satisfactory to very-good results. CN2, SOL\_K, SOL\_AWC, GWQMN, and SOL\_Z were found to be five sensitive parameters in descending order in the Cuenca Independencia model. After calibration and sensitivity analysis, the 40 years between 1970 and 2009 has been simulated by SWAT with updated parameters.

Potential climate change conditions were examined under RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5 emission

scenarios for the mid-century (2030–2059) and the end of this century (2070–2099) by seven GCMs under CMIP5. The results vary a lot because the seven GCMs are built by different investigation groups in several countries. They use different equations and consider different weights for the basic hydrologic and human activity factors. Each model has its reason partially, so the mean values of the seven GCMs are considered useful to understand the possible effect of climate change on the aquifer in the future.

Analyzing the results, we conclude that BCC-GSM 1.1 and CSIRO-MK 3.6.0 are very optimistic to consider ET or groundwater extraction decreasing in the future, separately, while GFDL-CM 3 is very pessimistic considering groundwater extraction. That's a human effect, increasing rapidly in the future. CO<sub>2</sub> emission has a high weight in models CCSM 4 and CNRM-CM 5, especially in the former, for parts of the variation results are changing from positive to negative or vice versa between RCP 4.5 and RCP 8.5.

It has been projected that the Cuenca Independencia may experience higher precipitation and temperature under all emission scenarios for both periods, compared to the baseline condition (1970-1999). PET was estimated to increase continuously while ET is to increase at lower rates. Both mean annual surface runoff and deep aquifer recharge was simulated to increase more rapidly under the condition of scenario RCP 8.5 than that of RCP 4.5. Under emission scenario RCP 4.5, the increasing rates of surface runoff and deep aquifer recharge will slow down at the end of this century when compared to the mid-century rates. However, the estimated increasing demands of groundwater exploitation for agricultural irrigation would neutralize the water seeping into the groundwater system, making it hopeless for aquifer recovery through natural processes under the current water resources management framework. Thus, more work and practice are needed to understand the hydrologic dynamics towards sustainable management of water resources in this semi-arid area in response to the overexploitation and potential impacts induced by climate change.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by projects funded by Texas A&M University through TAMU-CONACYT 2014-001 and 2017-034S projects and University of Guanajuato with project number of 004/2015. We are thankful to National Council for Science and Technology (Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, CONACYT) for the scholarship to C. Deng during 08/2015-07/2017. And we would like to show our gratitude to Dr. Peter Knappett for his great help in English editing.

## References

- Aeschbach-Hertig, W., Gleeson, T., 2012, Regional strategies for the accelerating global problem of groundwater depletion: *Nature Geoscience*, 5, 853-861. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1617>
- Aranda-Gómez, J.J., Godchaux, M.M., Aguirre-Díaz, G.J., Bonnicksen, B., Martínez-Reyes, J., 2003, Continental edge tectonics of Isla Tiburón, Sonora, Mexico, in Alcayde, M., Gómez-Caballero, A. (eds.), *Geologic transects across Cordilleran Mexico*, Guidebook for the field trips of the 99th Geological Society of America Cordilleran Section Annual Meeting: Puerto Vallarta, Jalisco, Mexico, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Instituto de Geología, *Publicación Especial 1*, Field trip 6, p. 123–168.
- Arnold, J.G., Srinivasan, R., Muttiah, R.S., Williams, J.R., 1998, Large area hydrologic modeling and assessment e Part 1: Model development: *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 34(1), 73–89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.1998.tb05961.x>
- Arnold, J.G., Muttiah, R.S., Srinivasan, R., Allen, P.M., 2000, Regional estimation of base flow and groundwater recharge in the Upper Mississippi river basin: *Journal of Hydrology*, 227(1-4), 21–40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(99\)00139-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(99)00139-0)
- Arnold, J.G., Moriasi, D.N., Gassman, P.W., Abbaspour, K.C., White, M.J., Srinivasan, R., Santhi, C., Harmel, R.D., van Griensven, A., Van Liew, M.W., Kannan, N., Jha, M.K., 2012, SWAT: Model use, calibration, and validation: *Transactions of the ASABE*, 55(4), 1491–1508.
- Barrett, B.S., Esquivel-Longoria, M.I., 2013, Variability of precipitation and temperature in Guanajuato, Mexico: *Atmósfera*, 26(4), 521–536. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0187-6236\(13\)71093-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0187-6236(13)71093-2)
- Bautista-Avalos, D., Cruz-Cardenas, G., Moncayo-Estrada, R., Silva-Garcia, J., Estrada-Godoy, F., 2014, Application of the SWAT model to evaluate the diffuse contamination sources at lake Chapala sub-basin, Mexico: *Revista Internacional de Contaminación Ambiental*, 30(3), 263–274.
- Blaney, H.F., 1959, Monthly Consumptive Use Requirements for Irrigated Crops: *Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division*, 85(1), 1–12.
- Candela, L., Tamoh, K., Olivares, G., Gomez, M., 2012, Modelling impacts of climate change on water resources in ungauged and data-scarce watersheds. Application to the Siurana catchment (NE Spain): *Science of The Total Environment*, 440(1), 253–260. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.06.062>
- CEAG, 2014, Comisión Estatal del Agua de Guanajuato, México. Available in: <http://agua.guanajuato.gob.mx/>
- CEASG, 1999, Actualización de modelos de disponibilidad hídrica superficial y subterránea en el Estado de Guanajuato, Comisión Estatal del Agua, Guanajuato.
- CONAGUA, C.N.D.A., 2018, Banco Nacional de Datos de Aguas Superficiales (BANDAS). Available in : <http://www.conagua.gob.mx/CONAGUA07/Contenido/Documentos/Portada%20BANDAS.htm>
- CONAGUA, C.N.D.A., 2015a, Actualización de la disponibilidad media anual de agua en el acuífero Cuenca Alta del Río La Laja (1108), Estado de Guanajuato, *Diario Oficial de la Federación*, México, D.F.
- CONAGUA, C.N.D.A., 2015b, Actualización de la disponibilidad media anual de agua en

- el acuífero Laguna Seca (1104), Estado de Guanajuato, Diario Oficial de la Federación.
- CONAGUA, C.N.D.A., 2015c, Actualización de la disponibilidad media anual de agua en el acuífero San Miguel de Allende (1107), Estado de Guanajuato, Diario Oficial de la Federación.
- Core Writing Team IPCC, Pachauri, R.K., Meyer, L., 2014, Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Geneva, Switzerland, IPCC, 151 p.
- Curtis, S., 2008, The Atlantic multidecadal oscillation and extreme daily precipitation over the US and Mexico during the hurricane season: *Climate Dynamics*, 30(4), 343–351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-007-0295-0>
- Douglas, M.W., Maddox, R.A., Howard, K., Reyes, S., 1993, The mexican monsoon: *Journal of Climate*, 6(8), 1665–1678. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(1993\)006<1665:tmm>2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1993)006<1665:tmm>2.0.co;2)
- EAWAG, 2015, available in: [https://swat.tamu.edu/media/114860/usermanual\\_swatcup.pdf](https://swat.tamu.edu/media/114860/usermanual_swatcup.pdf)
- Ficklin, D.L., Luo, Y., Zhang, M., 2013, Watershed modelling of hydrology and water quality in the Sacramento River watershed, California: *Hydrological Processes*, 27(2), 236–250. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9222>
- Fischer, G., Nachtergaele, F.O., Prieler, S., Teixeira, E., Tóth, G., van Velthuisen, H., Verelst, L., Wiberg, D., 2008, Global Agro-ecological Zones Assessment for Agriculture: Laxenburg, Austria and Rome, Italy, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 196 p.
- GTO, S.D.A., 2012, Programa de Rehabilitación de Obras Hidroagrícolas del Agua Superficial. Guanajuato, México. Available in: <https://studylib.es/doc/7246076/programa-de-rehabilitaci%C3%B3n-de-obras-hidroagr%C3%ADcolas-del-agua>
- Gupta, H.V., Sorooshian, S., Yapo, P.O., 1999, Status of Automatic Calibration for Hydrologic Models: Comparison with Multilevel Expert Calibration: *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 4(2), 135–143. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)1084-0699\(1999\)4:2\(135\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)1084-0699(1999)4:2(135))
- Hargreaves, G.H., Samani, Z.A., 1985, Reference crop evapotranspiration from ambient air temperature: *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 1(2), 96–99. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.26773>
- Heo, J., Yu, J., Giardino, J.R., Cho, H., 2015, Water Resources Response to Climate and Land-Cover Changes in a Semi-Arid Watershed, New Mexico, USA: *Terrestrial, Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences*, 26(4), 463–474. [https://doi.org/10.3319/tao.2015.03.24.01\(hy\)](https://doi.org/10.3319/tao.2015.03.24.01(hy))
- Herrera-Pantoja, M., Hiscock, K.M., 2015, Projected impacts of climate change on water availability indicators in a semi-arid region of central Mexico: *Environmental Science & Policy*, 54, 81–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.06.020>
- Instituto de Ecología del Estado de Guanajuato, 2014, available in: <http://mapas.ecologia.guanajuato.gob.mx/libros/msfw/apps/multisearchcenter/>
- INEGI, 2019, Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía, available in <http://www.inegi.org.mx/default.aspx>
- Jujnovsky, J., Gonzalez-Martinez, T.M., Cantoral-Uriza, E.A., Almeida-Leñero, L., 2012, Assessment of Water Supply as an Ecosystem Service in a Rural-Urban Watershed in Southwestern Mexico City: *Environmental Management*, 49(3), 690–702. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-011-9804-3>
- Lemieux, J.-M., Hassaoui, J., Molson, J., Therrien, R., Therrien, P., Chouteau, M., Ouellet, M., 2015, Simulating the impact of climate change on the groundwater resources of the Magdalen Islands, Québec, Canada: *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 3, 400–423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2015.02.011>
- Li, Y., Knappett, P., Giardino, J.R., Horacio-Hernandez, J., Avilés, M., Rodriguez, R., Deng, C., 2016, The risk of supply of Surface/groundwater in the Laja River

- Basin in the State of Guanajuato, Mexico: Vienna, Austria, EGU General Assembly, EGU2016-10838.
- Mahlknecht, J., Schneider, J.F., Merkel, B.J., Navarro de León, I., Bernasconi, S.M., 2004, Groundwater recharge in a sedimentary basin in semi-arid Mexico: *Hydrogeology Journal*, 12(5), 511–530. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-004-0332-6>
- Maier, N., Dietrich, J., 2016, Using SWAT for Strategic Planning of Basin Scale Irrigation Control Policies: a Case Study from a Humid Region in Northern Germany: *Water Resources Management*, 30(9), 3285–3298. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-016-1348-0>
- Maliehe, M., Mulungu, D.M.M., 2017, Assessment of water availability for competing uses using SWAT and WEAP in South Phuthiatsana catchment, Lesotho: *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 100, 305–316. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2017.02.014>
- Marek, G.W., Gowda, P.H., Evett, S.R., Baumhardt, R.L., Brauer, D.K., Howell, T.A., Marek, T.H., Srinivasan, R., 2016, Estimating Evapotranspiration for Dryland Cropping Systems in the Semiarid Texas High Plains Using SWAT: *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 52(2), 298–314. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12383>
- Mateos, E., Santana, J., Montero-Martínez, M.J., Deeb, A., Grunwaldt, A., 2016, Possible climate change evidence in ten Mexican watersheds: *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 91, 10–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2015.08.009>
- Molina-Navarro, E., Hallack-Alegría, M., Martínez-Pérez, S., Ramírez-Hernández, J., Mungaray-Moctezuma, A., Sastre-Merlín A., 2016, Hydrological modeling and climate change impacts in an agricultural semiarid region. Case study: Guadalupe River basin, Mexico: *Agricultural Water Management*, 175(SI1), 29–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.10.029>
- Moriasi, D.N., Arnold, J.G., Van Liew, M.W., Bingner, R.L., Harmel, R.D., Veith, T.L., 2007, Model evaluation guidelines for systematic quantification of accuracy in watershed simulations: *Transactions of the ASABE*, 50(3), 885–900. <https://doi.org/10.13031/2013.23153>
- Mosbahi, M., Benabdallah, S., Boussema, M.R., 2015, Sensitivity analysis of a GIS-based model: A case study of a large semi-arid catchment: *Earth Science Informatics*, 8(3), 569–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12145-014-0176-0>
- Nash, J.E., Sutcliffe, J.V., 1970, River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I — A discussion of principles: *Journal of Hydrology*, 10(3), 282–290. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694\(70\)90255-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1694(70)90255-6)
- Navarro De León, I., Gárfias-Soliz, J., Mahlkecht, J., 2005, Groundwater flow regime under natural conditions as inferred from past evidence and contemporary field observations in a semi-arid basin: Cuenca de la Independencia, Guanajuato, México: *Journal of Arid Environments*, 63(4), 756–771. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2005.04.003>
- Navarro De León, I.N., 2006, Explotación y renovabilidad del agua subterránea en una cuenca semiárida del Altiplano Mexicano: *Ciencia UANL*, 9(4), 375–382.
- Neitsch, S.L., Arnold, J.G., Kiniry, J.R., Williams, J.R., 2011, *Soil & Water Assessment Tool: Theoretical Documentation, Version 2009*: Texas, USA, Texas Water Resource Institute, Texas Water Resources Institute Technical Report, 406, 647 p.
- NEX-GDDP, 2019, *Advancing Research and Applications with NASA Climate Model Data*. Available in: <https://cds.nccs.nasa.gov/nex-gddp/>
- Nie, W., Yuan, Y., Kepner, W., Erickson, C., Jackson, M., 2012, Hydrological impacts of mesquite encroachment in the upper San Pedro watershed: *Journal of Arid Environments*, 82, 147–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2012.02.008>

- NRC, 2010, America's Climate Choices: Panel on Advancing the Science of Climate Change, Washington, D.C. NRC.
- Orozco-Ramírez, Q., Astier, M., 2017, Socio-economic and environmental changes related to maize richness in Mexico's central highlands: Agriculture and Human Values, 34(2), 377–391. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-016-9720-5>
- Rivas-Acosta, I., Montero-Martínez, M.J., 2014, Assessment of Surface Runoff Vulnerability to Climate Change in the Lerma-Chapala Basin, Mexico: Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management, 140(12), 04014042. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(asce\)wr.1943-5452.0000433](https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)wr.1943-5452.0000433)
- Rocha, J., Roebeling, P., Rial-Rivas, M.E., 2015, Assessing the impacts of sustainable agricultural practices for water quality improvements in the Vouga catchment (Portugal) using the SWAT model: Science of The Total Environment, 536, 48–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.07.038>
- Ropelewski, C.F., Halpert, M.S., 1986, North American precipitation and temperature patterns associated with the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO): Monthly Weather Review, 114, 2352–2362. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1986\)114<2352:na patp>2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1986)114<2352:na patp>2.0.co;2)
- Samper, J., Li, Y., Pisani, B., 2015, An evaluation of climate change impacts on groundwater flow in the Plana de La Galera and Tortosa alluvial aquifers (Spain): Environmental Earth Sciences, 73(6), 2595–2608. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-014-3734-3>
- Saxton, K.E., Rawls, W.J., 2006, Soil water characteristic estimates by texture and organic matter for hydrologic solutions: Soil Science Society of America Journal, 70(5), 1569–1578. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2005.0117>
- Seguí, O.G., 2003, Estudios michoacanos X. El Colegio de Michoacán A.C y Instituto Michoacano de Cultura: México.
- Shrestha, M.K., Recknagel, F., Frizenschaf, J., Meyer, W., 2016, Assessing SWAT models based on single and multi-site calibration for the simulation of flow and nutrient loads in the semi-arid Onkaparinga catchment in South Australia: Agricultural Water Management, 175, 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.02.009>
- SMN, 2019, Información Climatológica por Estado. Available in: <https://smn.cna.gob.mx/es/informacion-climatologica-por-estado?estado=gto>.
- Solaymani, H.R., Gosain, A.K., 2015, Assessment of climate change impacts in a semi-arid watershed in Iran using regional climate models: Journal of Water and Climate Change, 6(1), 161–180. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2014.076>
- SPAW, 2019, Soil-Plant-Air-Water Field & Pond Hydrology, version 6.02.75, USDA, Available in: <https://data.nal.usda.gov/dataset/soil-plant-atmosphere-water-field-pond-hydrology>
- SUFI-2, semi-automated Sequential Uncertainty Fitting version 2, 2019, Available in: [https://swat.tamu.edu/media/114860/usermanual\\_swatcup.pdf](https://swat.tamu.edu/media/114860/usermanual_swatcup.pdf)
- Torres-Benites, E., Mejía-Sáenz, E., Cortes-Becerra, J., Palacios-Velez, E., Exebio-García, A., 2005, Adaptation of a hydrological simulation model to the Rio Laja watershed, Guanajuato, Mexico: Agrociencia, 39(5), 481–490.
- Wu, H., Chen, B., 2015, Evaluating uncertainty estimates in distributed hydrological modeling for the Wenjing River watershed in China by GLUE, SUFI-2, and ParaSol methods: Ecological Engineering, 76, 110–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.05.014>
- Zhang, L., Nan, Z., Xu, Y., Li, S., 2016, Hydrological Impacts of Land Use Change and Climate Variability in the Headwater Region of the Heihe River Basin, Northwest China: PLOS ONE, 11(6), e0158394. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158394>